STAR.

6

UNCERTS STILLED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (The Dave Enveron)

apprend (C)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION | PAGE | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|--|--|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| DRXTH-AS-IA-83A016 | | |
| 4. TITLE (and Substitio) | | 3. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED |
| Historical Overview of the Nike | Missile System | Final |
| | | S. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER ESE-A016 |
| 7. AUTHOR(+) | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+; |
| B.N. McMaster, J.B. Sosebee, W.G. Govro, C.F. Jones, S.A. Grainger, Civitarese | and K.A. | DAAK11-81-C-0093 |
| 5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Environmental Science and Enginee | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS |
| P.O. Box ESE Gainesville, Fla. 32602 | - | N/A |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS | | 12. REPORT DATE |
| U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Mat | erials Agency | December 1984 |
| Assessments Division | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES |
| Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. 210 | | 146 13. SECURITY CLASS, (a) this report) |
| U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Mat Assessments Division | - | Unclassified |
| Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. 210 | 10 | 134. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) | | |
| requests for this document must b Toxic and Hazardous Materials Ages 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered | ncy, Aberdeen Pro | ving Ground, Md. 21010. |
| N/A | | 2122 23 |
| N/A | | A A A B B B B B B B B B B |
| | | HAY LIVED 28 BECEIVED 28 |
| 18. KEY VORDS (Cantinus an reverse aide il necessary a | nd idontity by block number) | AND |
| 30 | | |
| An assessment was conducted of fo facilities present and operationa nandling and disposal. The asses interviews with Army personnel fa site visits. | <pre>« idmitty by block number) rmer Nike missile l procedures, par sment relied on u </pre> | e sites to determine ticularly for material unclassified documents and |
| The Nike system was deployed betw Hercules replacing the Nike Ajax | een 1954 and the in the early 1960 | mid-1970s, with the Nike Ds. The missile sites were |
| DO TONE 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 15 00501 | LETE | |

 $\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1$

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dele En

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

generally deployed around major metropolitan areas and strategic military installations.

Normal operation of a Nike site included the use and onsite disposal of solvents, battery acid, fuel, and hydraulic fluid. Environmentally persistent compounds disposed of included carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, trichloroethane, lead, and various hydrocarbons. In general, the propellants and warhead components were carefully controlled.

ARCENT PARTY

SUMMARY

Nike Ajax missiles were first deployed in 1954, and remained in use until 1964. The Ajax was a two-stage missile using a solid-fueled booster and a liquid-fueled sustainer motor to deliver high explosive warheads to a radar-determined intercept point with target aircraft.

Nike Hercules missiles were introduced in 1958, and gradually replaced the Ajax. Some Hercules missiles remained in use until the mid-1970s. Hercules was a two-stage missile which differed from Ajax in that the sustainer motor was solid-fueled, and the warheads were primarily nuclear.

The typical Nike battery consisted of two main operating areas and sometimes included a third area for housing. The battery control area contained the electronic equipment for target tracking, missile guidance, and fire control. Support facilities such as an electric generator building and motor pool were also included in some instances. The launch area contained the facilities and equipment required to assemble, test, and maintain the missiles and associated launchers. Motor pools, generator buildings, guard dog kennels, and other support facilities were also generally included in this area.

General operational procedures used at Nike sites were found to be consistent, although specifics of material handling and disposal varied considerably for individual batteries. The most common liquids disposed of onsite were solvents used in maintenance operations. These were routinely dumped into sumps where they soaked into the ground. Fuel components were also sometimes disposed of in this manner, but to a more limited extent. Only isolated incidents of containerized disposal were reported.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | | Page |
|----------------|--|------|
| | SUMARY | i |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 2.0 | HISTORY OF THE NIKE PROGRAM | 2-1 |
| | 2.1 AJAX | 2-1 |
| | 2.2 <u>HERCULES</u> | 2-2 |
| | 2.3 <u>ZEUS</u> | 2-4 |
| 3.0 | MILITARY ORGANIZATION OF THE NIKE MISSILE SYSTEM | 3-1 |
| | 3.1 NATIONAL AIR DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | 3-1 |
| • | 3.2 <u>NIKE SYSTEM ORGANIZATION</u> | 3-1 |
| | 3.3 NIKE BATTALION ORGANIZATION | 3-2 |
| 4.0 | DESCRIPTION OF BATTERIES | 4-1 |
| | 4.1 LAND USE | 4-1 |
| | 4.2 FUNCTIONS AND FACILITIES OF MAJOR AREAS | 4-1 |
| | 4.3 OUTSIDE SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS | 4-5 |
| 5.0 | DESCRIPTION OF THE NIKE MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT | 5-1 |
| | 5.1 <u>AJAX</u> | 5-1 |
| | 5.1.1 CONSTRUCTION | 5-1 |
| | 5.1.2 PROPELLANTS | 5-5 |
| | 5.1.3 WARHEADS | 5-5 |
| | 5.1.4 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 5-5 |
| | 5.2 <u>HERCULES</u> | 5-9 |
| | 5.2.1 CONSTRUCTION | 5-9 |
| | 5.2.2 PROPELLANTS | 5-12 |
| | 5.2.3 WARHEADS | 5-12 |
| | 5.2.4 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 5-12 |
| | 5.3 <u>ZEUS</u> | 5-14 |
| 6.0 | LIFE CYCLE OF TYPICAL NIKE BATTERY | 6-1 |
| | 6.1 <u>AJAX</u> | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.1 DEPLOYMENT | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.2 OPERATION | 6-3 |
| | 6.1.3 CONVERSION/DEACTIVATION | 6-6 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued, Page 2 of 2)

| | 6.2 | HERCULES | 6-8 |
|--------|------|------------------------------------|-----|
| | | 6.2.1 DEPLOYMENT | 6-8 |
| | | 6.2.2 OPERATION | 6-8 |
| | | 6.2.3 CONVERSION/DEACTIVATION | 6-9 |
| 7.0 | ENVI | RONMENTAL RELEASES | 7-1 |
| | 7.1 | CHLORINATED ORGANIC SOLVENTS | 7-1 |
| | 7.2 | HYDROCARBONS | 7-4 |
| | 7.3 | IRFNA | 7-6 |
| | 7.4 | עסאנו | 7-7 |
| | 7.5 | ANILINE - FURFURYL ALCOHOL | 7-7 |
| | 7.6 | BATTERY ELECTROLYTE | 7-8 |
| | 7.7 | RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS | 7-8 |
| | 7.8 | RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION | 7-8 |
| 8.0 | CONC | LUSIONS | 8-1 |
| 9.0 | REFE | RENCES | 9-1 |
| APPEND | ICES | | • |
| | ADDE | NOTY AADDITIONAL SOURCES CONSULTED | 4-1 |

| APPENDIX | AADDITIONAL SOURCES CONSULTED | _ A−l |
|----------|---|--------------|
| APPENDIX | BLOCATIONS OF FORMER NIKE MISSILE SITES | B-1 |
| APPENDIX | CGEOGRAPHIC AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY | |
| | FOR ARAACOM, ARADCOM, AND NORAD FOR THE | |
| | PERIOD 1950 TO 1973 | C-1 |
| APPENDIX | DEXAMPLE NIKE SITE MAPS | D-1 |
| | | |

>

r

11

G

. v.

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 5.1-1 | General Construction and Performance Data for the Nike Ajax | 5-2 |
| 5.2-1 | General Construction and Performance Data for the Nike Hercules | 5-11 |
| 5.2-2 | Support Equipment Characteristic of the Nike Hercules Battery | 5-13 |
| 7.0-1 | Summary of Environmental 'Releases at a Nike Missile Battery | 7-2 |
| 7.1-1 | Physical and Transport Data for Chlorinated Organic Solvents | 7-3 |
| 7.1-2 | Current EPA Water Quality Standards/Criteria for Selected Contaminants | 7-5 |

C

Q

LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure</u> | | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| 3.3-1 | Organization of a Typical Nike Battalion | 3-3 |
| 4.1-1 | Launch Control Area Map, Phoenix Military Reservation (BA-03), Jacksonville, Md. | 4-2 |
| 4.1-2 | Battery Control Area Map, Phoenix Military Reservation (BA-03), Jacksonville, Md. | 4-3 |
| 5.1-1 | Basic Configuration of the Nike Ajax Missile | 5-3 |
| 5.1-2 | Tracking-Intercept Process of the Nike Ajax Missile | 5-4 |
| 5.1-3 | Nike Ajax Warhead Positions and Construction | 5-6 |
| 5.2-1 | Basic Configuration of the Nike Hercules | 5-10 |
| 5.3-1 | Basic Configuration of the Nike Zeus Missile | 5-15 |

中国やうどうに日本

ţ

| | LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS |
|--------------------------|---|
| AAA | antiaircraft artillery |
| ABMA | U.S. Army Ballistic Missile Agency |
| ACQR | acquisition radar |
| ADSIMO | Air Defense Special Items Management Office |
| AHC | U.S. Army Materiel Command |
| AOMC | U.S. Army Ordnance Missile Command |
| ARAACON | U.S. Army Antiaircraft Command |
| ARADCOM | U.S. Army Air Defense Command |
| ARGMA | U.S. Army Rocket and Guided Missile Agency |
| ATBM | antitactical ballistic missile |
| atm'm mole ⁻¹ | atmosphere cubic meters per mole |
| BCA | battery control area |
| BN | battalion |
| BTRY | battery |
| °c | degrees Celsius |
| Cm | centimeters |
| COE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| CONAD | Continental Air Defense Command |
| CONUS | continental United States |
| DARCOM | U.S. Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| FTBL | Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss |
| gal | gallons |
| ha | hectares |
| но | headquarters |
| hr ⁻¹ | per hour |
| ICBM | intercontinental ballistic missile |
| IRFNA | inhibited red fuming nitric acid |
| kg | kilograms |
| km | kilometers |
| K oc | sediment-water partition constant |

.

vi

| | • · · · |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| K ow | octanol-water partition constant |
| kph | 'kilometers per hour |
| kW | kilowatts |
| 1 | liters |
| LA | launch area |
| 1 | meters |
| _3 | cubic meters |
| mg/l | milligræms per liter |
| MICOM | U.S. Army Missile Command |
| $1 \text{ cell}^{-1} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ | milliliter per cell hour |
| mole ⁻¹ hr ⁻¹ | per mole hour |
| MTR | missile tracking radar |
| NAMSA | NATO Maintenance and Supply Agency |
| NATO | North Atlantic Treaty Organization |
| NIPDWR | National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations |
| NORAD | North American Air Defense Command |
| 000 | Office of Chief of Ordnance |
| PCBs | polychlorinated biphenyls |
| POL | petroleum, oils, and lubricants . |
| R&D | research and development |
| torr | millimeters of mercury |
| TIR | target tracking radar |
| UDMH | unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine |
| ug/l | micrograms per liter |
| USAEHA | U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency |
| USAF | U.S. Air Force |
| USATHAMA | U.S. Army Toxic and Bazardous Materials Agency |
| USN | U.S. Navy |
| WWII | World War II |

• •

•

-

-

-

.

•

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Between 1954 and the early 1970s, Nike Ajax and Nike Hercules missiles were deployed by the U.S. Army throughout the continental United States (CONUS) to protect major metropolitan areas and strategic military installations from aerial attack. Maintenance of the missile batteries in a combat-ready posture required the storage, handling, and disposal not only of missile components and propellants but also of solvents, fluids, fuels, and other materials required for a variety of support functions. The purpose of this report is to describe the Nike missile system and associated activities as they pertain to environmental concerns.

Information presented in this report was obtained from unclassified documents and from interviews with Army personnel who were assigned to Nike units (App. A). The documents included technical reports, unit histories, and field manuals. Published sources, however, presented an incomplete understanding of disposal practices and other topics of interest. Much of the information provided herein was obtained through extensive interviews with approximately 30 persons currently assigned to units at the U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss, Tex. (FTBL). Other agencies were also consulted (see App. A). The interviewees' experience with the Nike system spanned the entire period of missile deployment and included virtually every Nike site in CONUS. These persons were familiar with activation, deactivation, and conversion from Ajax to Hercules systems as well as with routine operations.

Detailed information is provided regarding the history, military organization, and physical components of the Ajax and Hercules systems. Development and testing of Nike Zeus is discussed briefly, as this system was never operationally deployed. Such information is intended to allow the report to be utilized as a "stand-alone" reference and to form the basis for "Ttain inferred conclusions concerning environmental impacts of the system.

2.0 HISTORY OF THE NIKE PROGRAM

2.1 <u>AJAX</u>

 \mathbf{M}

~

1

217

B

3

σ

Shortly after the end of World War II (WWII), the Army recognized the need for an air defense system capable of engaging high speed, maneuverable targets at far greater ranges than the conventional artillery available at the time. Development studies on such a system were begun as early as 1945. These studies led to establishment of a formal research and development (R&D) program for the system later to become known as Nike Ajax. After the Korean Conflict began in 1950, pressure to make the system operational mounted, and in late 1951, the Nike program was accelerated. The development and production processes were overlapped in order to advance the system to the tactical weapon stage as soon as possible. The program was originally called Nike I until November 1956, when it was renamed Nike Ajax.

intractor evaluation tests of the tactical prototype Nike Ajax system began in January 1953 and continued through May of that year. The first prototype model battery was delivered to White Sands Proving Ground, on May 15, 1953. Service evaluation tests by tactical Army troops began in October 1953.

Major test locations for the Nike Ajax system were Redstone Arsenal, Ala.; FTBL; and the adjacent White Sands Missile Range, then known as White Sands Proving Ground. The system performed well in testing, and no major design changes were made once service evaluation testing began.

Conversion of the United States air defense system from artillery to guided missiles began in March 1954, when the first combat-ready Nike Ajax battalion was deployed at Fort Meade, Md., in what was then known as the Washington-Baltimore Defense Area. Conventional antisircraft gun units were outnumbered by Nike Ajax units by December 1956, and the conversion to Buided missiles was completed by mid-1958.

development of Nike Ajax missiles began with the designated defense areas around major cities on the United States east coast. These included Boston, Providence, Philadelphia-New York, and Baltimore-Washington. West coast sites were added soon after near Seattle. San Francisco

Angeles. Before deployment was complete, additional sites were added at major military bases and other cities in the southeast and midwest including Miami, Cleveland, Milwaukee, and Detroit. App. B shows the dates of deployment and location of CONUS Nike sites.

During its term of service in the field, the Nike Ajax system remained essentially unchanged. The second generation Nike system, originally called Nike B and later named Nike Hercules, was under development even while Nike Ajax was still being deployed. Thus, all the Ajax batteries were essentially the same in design and construction, and no effort was made to update the Nike Ajax batteries once they were deployed. In-field changes were limited to minor equipment modifications to improve operational efficiency. Beginning in late 1958, selected Ajax batteries were converted to the Hercules system. This process is described in Sec. 6.0.

The Ajax/Hercules conversions which took place between 1958 and 1961 followed essentially the same pattern as the original Ajax deployment, beginning with major east coast metropolitan defense areas and spreading to the west and midwest.

Final phase out of Ajax batteries which were not converted to the Hercules system began in early 1962. This process took 2 years and was completed in early 1964, when the last CONUS Nike Ajax battery was deactivated. Six non-tactical Ajax systems were retained at FTBL (Cagle, 1959; 1973).

2.2 HERCULES

10

÷....

C

.

5

Even before deployment of the Nike Ajax, it was realized that the weapon system had performance limitations which would prevent it from engaging formations of high speed, high altitude aircraft which would soon be in use. Most critical was the limited resolution of the Ajax target tracking radar, which tended to wander between planes in a formation, resulting in the missile passing between two aircraft and detonating without causing any damage to the attackers. In 1952, the Ordnance Corps began feasibility studies of an improved air defense system which would be capable of countering anticipated aerial threats and could be modified to keep pace with advances in attack systems.

Preliminary design studies of the Nike Hercules system began in February 1953. Design guidelines for the Hercules missile called for maximum use of proven components from the Ajax program and stipulated that both missiles must be compatible with all sets of Nike ground and launching equipment. The primary role of the new system was to attack fast, high flying aircraft formations with a single atomic warhead. The system was to have an alternate conventional warhead for use against single aircraft or missiles.

÷

.

1

こうちちょうで、 あとうにいいのない

うちゃくない かんかんなかって うちゃんし とうちょう ちょう ちょうちょう ちょうかんしょう ちょうしょう

1,11

The tactical version of the Hercules system evolved from several overlapping R&D and industrial programs between 1955 and 1959. During this period, the liquid propellant second stage motor used in the Ajax program was found to be impractical for use in Hercules. In 1956, design of a new, solid propellant second-stage was begun. Known as the XM-30, the new engine was flight tested in 1957, and by early 1958 liquid propellants were eliminated from the Hercules flight test program. Test firings and minor modifications of the system continued through 1960. The system as it existed at that time later became known as the Basic Hercules system to distinguish it from subsequent modifications.

Training of personnel began in 1956 and was conducted at the Ordnance Guided Missile School, Redstone Arsenal; and at the Operational Training Center, FTBL. Deployment of the Basic Hercules system began in June 1958, even while engineering tests continued at White Sands Proving Ground and Redstone Arsenal. Deployment of the Basic Hercules system within CONUS was completed in the fourth quarter of 1961. App. B shows the deployment dates and locations of CONUS Hercules sites.

Hercules deployment, while following the basic pattern of metropolitan defense areas established by Ajax, added several new cities and a number of major military bases to the system. In the final configuration, there was no significant difference in Hercules batteries at different locations or in converted sites as compared to new sites.

During its term of service in the field, the Nike Hercules system undervent numerous design modifications. As previously mentioned, the system as improvement programs were subsequently executed to keep the system up to date. The first resulted in deployment of the Improved Hercules system beginning in 1961. The second produced the Hercules Antitactical Ballistic Missile (ATBM) system, which was first introduced in 1963. Both programs provided improved target tracking, guidance, and interception capabilities by modifying or replacing radar and electronics equipment. Neither produced any significant change in the missile or the battery configuration.

Not all Hercules batteries were retrofitted with the new equipment as it became available, due to budget limitations. The guidelines used provided for retrofitting of certain batteries within a group, based on the number of batteries in a particular defense area. Hence, the field deployment within a single area in 1962 may have included Ajax, Basic Hercules, and Improved Hercules batteries. App. C presents maps of the various Metropolitan Defense Areas showing battery sites as of 1968.

In 1962, the Army began transferring operation of certain Hercules batteries to National Guard Units. Shortly thereafter, deactivation began at numerous locations. By 1970, the Army had deactivated most CONUS Hercules sites. National Guard Units continued to maintain a few sites until the late 1970s. Some Nike Hercules equipment is still retained at FTBL for classroom and operational training of troops from other North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) countries (Cagle, 1959; 1973). The last operational battery at FTBL was deactivated in March 1983.

2.3 <u>ZEUS</u>

Nike Zeus was the first missile developed in the United States that was designed to defend against intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBM). It was conceived in the early 1950s, and R&D began in 1956. The first test firing of Nike Zeus occurred at White Sands Missile Range on Aug. 26, 1959. The test was unsuccessful, however, since a booster fin failed several seconds after liftoff, causing an abnormally high angle of attack resulting in the rupture of the booster and the breakup of the missile. In October of the same year, Zeus was successfully fired at White Sands. In 1962, Zeus intercepted a Nike Hercules target. Reportedly, the Army installed a complete Nike Zeus complex at Kwajalein Island in the Pacific for full

capability tests against missile targets (Parson, 1962; Secretary of Defense, 1959). Zeus was never approved for production or deployment as a tactical system. However, the basic design concepts used in Zeus were incorporated into later, more sophisticated ATBM systems.

'~

~

1.1

۵.

с н²⁵ 1

3.1 NATIONAL AIR DEFENSE ORGANIZATION

 ∞

-

t en

ters

ርጉ

5

r < 3

5

The development of a missile-based air defense system was paralleled by changes in command structure and defense organization, beginning in July 1950, when the Army placed all artillery units with continental air defense missions under the newly organized U.S. Army Antiaircraft Command (ARAACOM) at Ent Air Force Base in Colorado Springs, Colo. The installation of Nike Ajax batteries in CONUS and overseas in 1953 led to further reorganization of the continental air defense structure and the Army's antiaircraft missions and organization. On Sept. 1, 1954, ARAACOM and corresponding elements in the U.S. Air Force (USAF) and U.S. Navy (USN) were combined to form the Continental Air Defense Command (CONAD) at Colorado Springs under the direction of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. In 1957, the Army's air defense responsibility within CONAD was defined as point air defense by missiles fired from the ground to aerial targets not more than 161 kilometers (km) avay. Point defense was to include "geographical areas, cities, and vital installations that could be defended by missile units which received their guidance information from radars near the launching site" and also was to include responsibility of a ground commander for air protection of his forces. To represent this expanded, all-missile role more clearly, ARAACOM vas redesignated the U.S. Army Air Defense Command (ARADCOM) on Mar. 21, 1957.

Further development on a national scale occurred in September 1957, when the North American Air Defense Command (NORAD) was formed to combine air defense capabilities of Canada and the United States under one Commander in Chief, who also headed CONAD. Like CONAD, NORAD elements in the United States reported directly to the Joint Chiefs of Staff. All Army ARADCOM units were placed under the operational control of NORAD (Cagle, 1973). App. D contains maps of geographic areas of responsibility for ARAACOM, ARADCOM, and NORAD for the period 1950 to 1973.

3.2 NIKE SYSTEM ORGANIZATION

During the period 1945 to 1951, R&D on the Nike program was directed by the Office of Chief of Ordnance (OCO). In 1951, OCO transferred to Redstone

Arsenal, Ala., responsibilities relating to monitoring, coordinating, and conducting technical aspects of the program, particularly contractorgovernment coordination. OCO retained responsibility for general direction and decision making on policy, scope, objectives, and major modifications to missile design, performance, and operation.

In March 1958, prior to the deployment of the first Nike Hercules battery (June 1958), the U.S. Army Ordnance Missile Command (AOMC) was established at Redstone Arsenal. Subsidiary activities under the direction of AOMC included the Army Rocket and Guided Missile Agency (ARGMA), the U.S. Army Ballistic Missile Agency (ABMA), the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, White Sands Proving Ground, and Redstone Arsenal. ARGMA assumed responsibility for Nike Hercules from Redstone. Under the command of AOMC, ARGMA guided the Nike program through deployment of the Basic Hercules system and the subsequent Improved Hercules system, initial development of the ATBM Hercules system, and final conversion of Ajax systems to Hercules systems.

In 1961, both ARGMA and ABMA were disbanded. Two new missile systems groups were created under AOMC, one for ballistic missiles and one for guided missiles. Responsibility for the Nike program was assigned to the latter group. In 1962, further Army reorganization led to the establishment of two new commands: the U.S. Army Materiel Command (AMC), which replaced OCO, and the U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM), which replaced AOMC. MICOM exercised control over Nike Hercules until system development ended in 1971. At that time, responsibility for Hercules fell to the Air Defense Special Items Management Office (ADSIMO), a small management office under MICOM (Cagle, 1973).


3.3 NIKE BATTALION ORGANIZATION

O

The basic operational unit of the Nike missile system was the fire unit, or launching section, composed of a control station and four launchers. In general, three firing units made up a battery, and four batteries formed a battalion. Fig. 3.3-1 depicts the organization of a typical Nike battalion,

3-2.





HQ HEADQUARTERS

BTAY BATTERY

SOURCE: U.S. Army, 1956.

| Figure 3.3-1 Organization of a typical Nike Battalion | Prepared for: U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous |
|--|--|
| | Materials Agency |
| | Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland |

which consisted of a headquarters and headquarters battery, four firing batteries, and a medical section (U.S. Army, 1956). In the case of Nike Ajax, the battalion was operated by over 400 personnel, who typically handled 48 launchers and associated equipment (Ordway and Wakeford, 1960).

The headquarters and headquarters battery was composed of the battalion headquarters and the headquarters battery, which, in turn, comprised the following seven elements: (1) battery beadquarters, (2) battalion administration and supply section, (3) operations and intelligence section, (4) battalion motor maintenance section, (5) communications section, (6) radar section, and (7) assembly and service section. The assembly and service section was a team of technical experts who supervised and assisted in the assembly, testing, and performance of organizational maintenance on missiles and boosters.

A missile battery was composed of six elements. These are listed below, followed by brief mission statements:

- 1. <u>Headquarters Section</u>: The responsibility of the headquarters section was essentially the operational and administrative control of personnel and equipment.
- 2. <u>Communications Section</u>: This section was responsible for installing and maintaining noncommercial communication nets and operating the commercial communication nets within the battery.
- 3. <u>Fire Control Platoon:</u> The fire control platoon was responsible for the operation and maintenance of the fire control equipment in the battery control area.
- 4. <u>Launching Platoon:</u> The launching platoon consisted of one launching platoon headquarters and three launching sections.
- 5. <u>Launching Platoon Headquarters</u>: The launching platoon headquarters which was responsible for the operation and training of the three launching sections, contained personnel who assembled, tested, and performed organizational maintenance on the Nike missile and maintained the rounds at the Taunching section.

6. <u>Launching Section</u>: The three launching sections were responsible for the preparation of the missile and booster for firing after they were delivered to the launching section from the assembly and test area. In addition, they performed routine nontechnical tests, checks, adjustments, and organizational maintenance (U.S. Army, 1956).

4.0 DESCRIPTION OF BATTERIES

4.1 LAND USE

「ない」の「「ない」の「「ない」」の「ない」の「ない」の「ない」の「ない」で、そのころに、こことになっている」


のないのであるのである


A typical Nike site consisted of two parcels of land. One parcel, the battery control area, was generally about 5 hectares (ha), while the other, the launch area, may have ranged in size from 15 to 30 ha. In some cases, a third parcel, the housing area, was included, adding 10 to 30 ha to the total. The two main areas, which had specific functions relating to battery operation, are discussed below. Equipment limitations dictated these areas could be no closer than 900 meters (m) from each other and no farther than 4.8 km.

The physical arrangement of structures within each area seems to have been site-specific, and no "standard" layouts were encountered. Figs. 4.1-1 and 4.1-2 illustrate the layout of one Nike site which included facilities that were reportedly common to most batteries, such as a motor pool, generator building, acid pit, and missile assembly buildings. Maps of additional sites are included in App. E. This particular site also included more uncommon features such as septic tanks with infiltration wells for liquid vaste disposal, a blast protective berm around the warheading area, and barracks in the two operating areas. This indicates the extent to which site-specific methods were found to provide needed services and to make use of available property.

4.2 FUNCTIONS AND FACILITIES OF MAJOR AREAS

The battery control area at a Nike site contained all the radar, guidance, electronic, and communications equipment needed to identify incoming targets, launch missiles, and direct missiles in flight. This equipment included a computer used to determine an intercept point and guide the missile there. The target was identified by acquisition radar (ACQR) and then transferred to target tracking radar (TTR), which tracked the target to the intercept point. Once the battery commander issued the fire command and the missile was in flight, steering and burst orders were transmitted through the missile tracking radar (MTR). These different pieces of "Muipment were housed in mobile trailers and interconnected with communication cables.





The missiles and warheads were assembled, serviced, checked, and fired at the launch area of a Nike Ajax site. In general, this area was subdivided into specific locations which were suitably equipped for specific operations. Missiles arrived at the launch site partially disassembled, unarmed, and, in the case of liquid-fueled stages, defueled. All the operations necessary to make the missile flight-ready were conducted in the launch area. Missiles were generally stored in an underground magazine and moved to the launchers by a rail and elevator system, although in some locations, surface storage facilities were used. A single battery included 12 launchers, divided into 3 groups of 4. A full complement of missiles included 1 missile in position and 3 reloads for each launcher (a total of 48 missiles). Because of limitations in the tracking and guidance systems, only 1 missile could be in flight at a time. Since the average flight time was 1 minute, a battery's full complement could be expended in less than 1 hour if the maximum rate of fire were sustained.

Most organizational-level maintenance was conducted in the launch area, which generally included the motor pool and generator buildings in addition to the defuel/refuel facilities. The motor pool and generator buildings were generally equipped with a sump which was routinely used for disposal of the oil, solvents, and paints used in equipment maintenance. These sumps were typically 1 to 2 cubic meter (m^3) excavated pits backfilled with gravel where liquids were simply dumped and allowed to soak into the ground. In some cases, the associated maintenance area had a drain consisting of a concrete trough covered with a metal grate. These drains were designed to carry any liquid spilled in the motor pool or generator area to the sump. This reportedly included sizeable quantities of diesel fuel, since the generator design made spillage during filling likely. Hany Ajar batteries had an additional rock-filled pit in the defuel/refuel area used for disposal of inhibited red fuming nitric acid (IEFNA).

At some locations, holding tanks were used for temporary storage of liquid waste as an alternative to onsite disposal. The liquids collected were periodically pumped out for offsite disposal or recycling. This process was widely used to dispose of waste oils, and was sometimes employed for handling a variety of liquids. The underground magazines included floor drains which routed any liquid to a sump normally located below the missile elevator shaft. These sumps were usually equipped with level-controlled pumps that transferred accumulated liquid to surface drainageways.

The third major area sometimes included at Nike sites was housing area. There was wide variation in the housing arrangements at different sites. In some cases, housing was in a different location than either of the two operating areas. In some cases it was contiguous to one operating area, or possibly split between the two. At locations where it was available, many personnel used nearby commercial housing.

Fuel storage tanks were a normal component of the operating areas. Total onsite storage ranged as high as 24,000 liters (1) and included fuel oil, diesel fuel, and gasoline. It was reported that most bulk storage was in underground tanks, although aboveground tanks, mobile tanks, and drum storage were also used.

4.3 OUTSIDE SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS

During normal daily operations, Nike sites used commercial electrical supplies where they were available. Transformers used in the electrical distribution system may have contained or been contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), although records confirming this possibility were not located. The generators included in battery equipment were used for standby and emergency power. They were run under full load at intervals to ensure readiness in the event that commercial supplies were disrupted.

Water supplies for most batteries were obtained from municipal sources. For more remote sites, wells were used. In one instance well water was reportedly used for non-potable uses, and drinking water was trucked to the site. If municipal severs were available, they were used to dispose of wastewater. Where such service was not available, septic tanks, oxidation ponds, or package treatment plants were used.

Solid waste generated at Nike facilities was disposed of through a variety of means. Often, the unit would have an agreement with a municipality for use of a public landfill. Very few of the Nike sites included an operational landfill. Numerous instances were reported that where convenient, open areas on or near the site were used for dumping of any and all waste. During deactivation, excess supplies (including solvents and paints which might be on hand but not shown on property records or otherwise accounted for) were routinely dumped at such sites as an expedient method of "balancing the books" before final site closure.

Buildings at most sites were heated by small oil-burning boilers. The fuel for these boilers was a major part of the petroleum, oils, and lubricants (POL) stored onsite. Reportedly, some sites in Alaska used turbine-type space besters fueled with JP-4 in place of the oil-fired units.

5.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE NIKE MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT

5.1 AJAX

そのようてきちろいということになるない

Þ

「「ないたち」とは学校ではないです。それないできたのです。ためになるなどのなどのないないないないでのないです。」という

5.1.1 CONSTRUCTION

Nike Ajax was a 2-stage, supersonic missile armed with three large-radius, spherical-burst, high-explosive warheads mounted in the nose, center, and aft sections of the missile. The first stage was powered by a solidpropellant booster. The initial booster design included a cluster of four solid-propellant rockets with large fins. At the production stage, however, the design was modified to provide more rapid guidance response by means of a single, small-finned booster. In the second stage, the missile was powered by a liquid-fueled sustainer motor. The missile itself displayed three sets of cross-shaped fins in addition to those on the booster. The forward set was for steerage, the middle set may have been used for sensing, while the rear set provided stability (Burgess, 1957). Construction and performance data for the Nike Ajax missile are summarized in Table 5.1-1, and a schematic diagram of the basic missile configuration is shown in Fig. 5.1-1.

To control flight and engage the warheads, Nike Ajax used a guidance system coordinated with radar units on the ground. The guidance equipment was located within the cylindrical missile casing, along with the fuel tanks and ignition mechanism. The ACQR initially identified the target and alerted the battery control area, where the TTR picked up the target at close range and tracked it throughout the engagement. The third radar, the MTR, locked onto the missile prior to firing and tracked it throughout its flight. The two tracking radars fed target and missile present position data into a computer located in the battery control trailer. The computer then determined a predicted point of interception and issued steering orders to guide the missile to that point. At the optimum time, the computer issued a burst order which detonated the three warheads simultaneously, destroying the target. This process is depicted in Fig. 5.1-2 (U.S. Army, 1956; Ordway and Wakeford, 1960).


The Ajax was launched by remote control from a nearly vertical position. The entire missile system (consisting of the launcher, ACQR and TTR, and


| Characteristic* | Specification |
|--|-------------------------|
| Length (m) | 6.4 (10.8 with booster) |
| Diameter (cm) | 30.5 (booster, 40.6) |
| Weight (kg) | |
| Loaded | 1,114.6 |
| Payload | 136.2 |
| Range (km) | -40.25 |
| Altitude (km) | 16.1 - 19.2 |
| Velocity (maximum in kph) | 2,400 |
| Sustainer Thrust (kg) | 1,180.4 |
| Sustainer Firing Time (seconds) | 30 |
| Sustainer Propellants | Nitric Acid and JP-4 |
| Booster Propellant | Solid |
| kg = kilograms km = kilometers | |
| kg = kilograms km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | |
| km = kilometers | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Vakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Vakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Vækeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Vakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |
| km = kilometers kph = kilometers per hour | Nakeford, 1960. |

Table 5.1-1. General Construction and Performance Data for the Nike Ajax

-

The second s





related control equipment) was mobile, capable of being packaged into lightweight, van-type trailers (Ordway and Wakeford, 1960; Burgess, 1957).

5.1.2 PROPELLANTS

The Ajax first stage was powered by the XH-5 booster, which burned a cast, double-based solid propellant. The propellant grain and igniter were contained in a steel casing, which fell to the ground intact after burnout. Under normal conditions, the booster had a total burn time of 3.4 seconds, at the end of which it was jettisoned and the missile entered the second stage.

The Ajax second stage burned jet fuel (JP-4), with IRFNA used as an oxidizer. Aniline/furfuryl alcohol, later replaced by unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine (UDMR), were used as a starter fluid. In normal flight, the sustainer motor burned for 70 seconds and consumed 135 kilograms (kg) of JP-4 (Burgess, 1957; Cagle, 1973).

5.1.3 WARHEADS

 \mathbf{N}

C

Ser .

Column Street Station She with


Nike Ajax was armed with three high-explosive warheads. As shown in Fig. 5.1-3, these were mounted to the nose, center, and aft of the missile. The warheads were activated by two arming mechanisms and five detonating cords, following burst orders issued by the computer in the battery control trailer (U.S. Army, 1956).

5.1.4 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The equipment utilized in each of these areas is itemized below, as described in Procedures and Drills for the Nike I System (U.S. Army, 1956).

Battery Control Area

1. <u>ACOR</u>: This radar, composed of the acquisition antenna, receiver, and transmitter, was used to detect, observe, identify, and designate selected targets. Operator controls and displays were located in the battery control trailer.



.

- 2. <u>TTR</u>: This radar was composed of the tracking antenna, receiver, and transmitter, mounted on a drop-bed antenna trailer. The three operator's controls and displays (azimuth, elevation, and range) were located on the target console in the radar control trailer. The TTR tracked the designated target and furnished target present position data to the computer.
- 3. <u>MTR</u>: This radar was composed of the missile-tracking antenna, receiver, and transmitter, mounted on a drop-bed antenna trailer. The operator's controls and displays were located on the missile console in the radar control trailer. The MTR tracked the missile, supplied the computer with missile present position data, and provided a communication link for transmitting orders from the computer to the missile. The MTR was similar in appearance to the TTR.

ណ

162

0

- 4. <u>Battery Control Trailer</u>: The battery control trailer contained the acquisition radar cabinet assembly, the battery control console assembly, the computer assembly, an early warning plotting board, and an event recorder and switchboard cabinet assembly. The battery control console assembly contained the displays and controls required by the acquisition radar operator, the battery control officer, and the computer operator.
- 5. <u>Radar Control Trailer</u>: The radar control trailer contained the target console assembly, the missile console assembly, the radar power cabinet assembly, and the radar range and receiver cabinet assembly. The missile and target consoles contained the controls and displays required for the MTR and TTR operators.
- 6. <u>Radar Collimation Mast Assembly</u>: This assembly was composed of the radar frequency test set, the radar collimation mast, and the target-head assembly. It was used in collimating, testing, and adjusting the MTR and TTR.
- 7. <u>Electrical Generating Equipment</u>: This equipment produced the necessary electrical power to operate the equipment in the fire control area. Commercial power with electrical converters to change 60-cycle power to '400-cycle power was utilized where available.

- 8. <u>Battery Control Area Cable System</u>: This cable system interconnects the various elements in the battery control area.
- 9. <u>Interarea Cable System</u>: The interarea cable system included the cables necessary to connect the battery control area with the launching area. When cable installation and easement costs for the interarea cable were excessive, wire and radio voice control were used.
- 10. <u>Maintenance and Spares Trailer</u>: This trailer provided facilities for storing portable test equipment, spare components, and spare parts. Components of the ACQR were carried in this trailer during march order.

Launching Area

3

е^н

- 1. <u>Launching Control Trailer</u>: The launching control trailer contained the launching control panel, the launching control switchboard, and the test responder. The launching control panel contained the controls, displays, and communications equipment necessary to supervise and monitor the activities of the launching sections during an engagement and to act as a relay station between the launching sections and the battery control area.
- 2. Launching Section Control Cabinet: This cabinet, located underground in the underground magazine storage-type sites or in the launching section revetment in aboveground installations, contained the necessary controls, indicators, and communication facilities to enable a launching section to control the preparation and firing of its rounds. It also coordinated the activities of the launching section with the launching control panel operator in the launching control trailer. It consisted of a launching section control panel and a launching section power cabinet.
- 3. <u>Launcher-Loader Assemblies</u>: The launcher-loaders provided the equipment necessary to accomplish the physical operations at the launching site for storing, loading, and firing the rounds.
- 4. <u>Electrical Generating Equipment:</u>
 - a. <u>Aboveground sites</u>-electric power for aboveground sites was supplied by 400-cycle, 30-kilowatt (kW) engine generators, or by commercial sources with suitable converters when available.

- b. <u>Underground sites</u>--electric power for underground sites is supplied by 150-kW, 60-cycle diesel generators or by commercial sources, when available. Direct 60-cycle power was used for the elevator. Where 400-cycle power was required, the 60-cycle power was converted to 400-cycle power by means of frequency converters.
- 5. <u>Launching Area Cable System</u>: This system interconnects the various elements of the launching area.

5.2 HERCULES

おもつです。

5.2.1 CONSTRUCTION

Preliminary design of Nike Hercules called for a 2-stage system, employing a cluster of four Ajax XM-5 propellant boosters rigged to burn as one motor and fitted into a single casing in conjunction with four Ajax liquid propellant (later changed to solid propellant) sustainer motors. The mission of the system was to attack, with one atomic varhead, aircraft formations traveling at speeds up to 1,600 kph and at altitudes up to 18,288 m. The horizontal range of the missile from the launching site was to be approximately 45,700 m.

As finally developed, the Ajax and Hercules missiles could be launched from the same site. The Hercules was launched vertically and was propelled through the first stage of flight by the booster, which was jettisoned following propellant burn out. The sustainer motor then was ignited and carried the missile to its maximum height. Stabilization and steerage were accomplished by four fixed fins with trailing-edge control surfaces mounted to the aft of the missile. The Hercules guidance system was similar to that of the Ajax missile, was composed of an ACQR for locating all targets within range, a TTR to provide continuous surveillance of the target once identified, and an MTR (command guidance) radar to lock onto the missile itself and guide it to the intercept point.

In outward appearance, the Hercules was similar to the Ajax (see Fig. 5.2-1). As demonstrated by the construction and performance data listed in Table 5.2-1, however, Hercules was in every way a larger, more powerful weapon than the Ajax.

| Characteristic | Specification |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ength (m) | 8.2 (11.9 with booster) |
| Diameter (cm) | 80 |
| Weight (kg) | |
| Loaded | 2,270 (4,721.6 with booster) |
| Payload | 454 |
| Range (km) | 120 - 128 |
| Altitude (km) | 56 |
| Velocity (kph) | 3,528 |
| Sustainer Thrust (kg) | 4,540 |
| Sustainer Propellant | Solid |
| Booster Propellant | Solid |
| Booster Firing Time (seconds) | 4 |

Table 5.2-1. General Construction and Performance Data for the Nike Hercules

Source: Modified from Ordway and Wakeford, 1960.

с Х

1.1


200

 \circ

ar V

> د مرد ا ج

σ



5.2.2 PROPELLANTS

As mentioned in Sec. 5.2.1, the first stage of the Hercules missile was powered by the solid-propellant XM-5 cluster, which became standardized for the Hercules system. The second-stage sustainer motor was modified throughout the system development. Initial test models used a liquid sustainer motor fueled by a mixture of 40-percent UDMH and 60-percent JP-4, which was known as JPX. Between 1955 and 1956, the sustainer cluster was redesigned using motors fueled by JP-4, with IRFNA as an oxidizer.

The liquid sustainer motors continually malfunctioned during Hercules testing; therefore, a solid-propellant sustainer motor of the XM-30 series was developed and accepted in 1958 as a replacement for the liquid propulsion system. This model was fueled by an ammonium perchlorate-type propellant. Conversion to the solid propellant required general missile redesign, including rearrangement of the warhead and guidance systems within the missile casing (Cagle, 1973; Ordway and Wakeford, 1960). All Hercules missiles deployed at Nike batteries used the solid fuel engines.

5.2.3 WARHEADS

The primary warhead arming the Hercules missile was nuclear. Large nuclear payloads were designed for use against aircraft formations, while smaller payloads were employed against single aircraft. Other types of warheads, including high-explosive and fragmentation, were developed for use against low-altitude targets (Cagle, 1973).

5.2.4 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The basic Hercules battery, which utilized existing Ajax equipment to the fullest extent possible, involved three basic operations carried out in the launch area: assembly and testing, fueling and warheading, and launching. Equipment associated with each of these activities is listed in Table 5.2-2. In addition, this table designates which pieces of equipment were unique to Hercules, which items were developed to permit co-use by Ajax and Hercules, which were modified Ajax pieces, and which were unmodified Ajax items.

The design of the Hercules launching and handling equipment was essentially the same as that of the Ajax equipment. It remained virtually unchanged

٦

| toble 5, J-2. Support | Equipment | Characteristic | 0 I | the | Nike | Hercules | Battery |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----|------|----------|---------|
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-----|------|----------|---------|

| Equipment | No. Required For Hercules | New For Hercules Only | New For Ajax/Hercules | Modified Ajax | Existing Ajsx |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| seembly and Testing | <u></u> | | ***** | | 4 |
| 1. Capping Compressor | 1 | | | | X |
| 2. Propulsion Plumbing System Test Assembly | 1 | | | X | |
| 3. Missile Dolly | 3 | x | | | |
| 4. Warhead Section Adapter | 3 | X | | | |
| 5. Hain Body Hoist Beam | 1 | X | | | |
| 6. Auxiliary Power Unit Fueling Equipment | 1 | X | | | |
| 7. Missile Handling Rings | 5 aets | X | | | |
| 8. Hydraulic Test Stand | 1 | | | X | |
| 9. Test Assembly Missile Electrical Equip. | 1 | | | X | |
| 0. Servicing Assembly Propellant Draining | 1 | | | X | |
| 1. Hiscellaneous Tools and Equipment | 1 | | | X | |
| ueling and Warheading 1. Fuel Fill Equipment 2. Propellant Hoist Assembly 3. Oxidizer Fill Equipment 4. Warhead Section Dolly 5. Hissile Hoist Beam 6. Warhead Section Hoist Beam 7. Booster Cluster Hoist Beam 8. Booster Cluster Dolly 9. Jato Hoist Beam | 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 | x x x x x x | X X X | | X |
| | • | | | | |
| <u>eunchine</u> | • | | x | | |
| 1. Launcher 2. Looding Books | J 13 | | X | | |
| 2. Loading Racks | 17 | | X | | |
| 3. Loading Frame | 4 | x | ~ | | |
| 4. Launching Rail 5. Portable Test Set | 0 1 | X | | | |
| | 1 | A | | | x |
| 6. Portable Test Equipment Dolly | 1 | | | x | n |
| 7. Launching Section Operation Equipment | a t | | | x | |
| 8. Launching Control Trailer | 1 | | | ~ | v . |
| 9. Hissile Booster Transporter Trailer | 2 2 | • | | | X |
| 0. Trailer Adapter | 4 | X | | | |

·

Source: Cagle, 1973.

throughout the life of the missile system. The basic guidance and control systems, however, were modified through the years to keep pace with advances in target aircraft technology (Cagle, 1973; Ordway and Wakeford, 1960).

5.3 ZEUS

N

C

?``

A


1.F7

 O^{\ast}

0

Approximately 15.2 m in length, Nike Zeus was a 3-stage, solid-propellant missile capable of 204,300 kg of thrust in the first stage. It had a 480-km range and 320-km average altitude. Equipped with a nuclear warhead, it was the most powerful missile of its time (Ordway and Wakeford, 1960; Parson, 1962). The basic configuration of the Zeus is shown in Fig. 5.3-1.

The principles of guidance and propulsion used in the Zeus were the same as those for Ajax and Hercules (see Fig. 5.1-2). The warhead support system differed, however, in that it reportedly detected the entry of an ICBM target into the atmosphere by means of electro-magnetic disturbances created by the target (Parson, 1962).



C

e 114

The following sections describe the typical operations and activities associated with the construction, deployment, operation, maintenance, and conversion/deactivation of a Nike battery (Ajax and Hercules). The focus is on those operations and activities having the potential to generate toxic and hazardous materials.

6.1 <u>AJAX</u>

T

C

ാ

10

•

131

÷...

• • • **4**

5

ž ...

6.1.1 DEPLOYMENT

All Ajax systems were deployed on sites not previously established for missile deployment and on land for the most part acquired from civilian landowners. As a result, site preparation and construction was a much more significant activity than with subsequent deployment of Hercules systems, most of which were deployed at existing Ajax battery locations.

Limited specific information regarding site preparation was found, as the majority of battery personnel arrived after much of the initial site construction was completed. Initial site preparation involved cutting and filling to provide smooth terrain for subsequent construction and excavation for magazines. Rugged terrain necessitated removal of material from the site. The disposition of this material and subsequently excavated material is not known, although it likely depended upon local need for fill or the presence of a suitable local dumping area.

The preparation of earthen pads for the subsequent construction of buildings, concrete pads, and vehicle areas was also necessary. Some site drainage alterations were probably required.

Establishment of utilities service was also part of site preparation. This included laying pipe for water and/or sever services, well construction, and laying pipelines for fuel oil or natural gas supply, all dependent upon the provisions for utility service to the site. Also, at least at some sites, landfills were established for waste disposal.

Major construction at the three main battery areas included:

Magazine and elevators o Launch area --Launch pads Material storage buildings Assembly building(s) Repair building(s) Latrine(s) o Battery Control Area -- Launch control building(s) Generator pads Radar and tower pads Latrine(s) Material storage area o Barracks --Barracks Mess hall Latrines Recreation/support services

÷

ŝ

C

C

× -4

117

• •

ch

2014) H

. ج

5

Ancillary construction activities included some or all of the following:
Placement of non-missile fuel tanks;
Construction and placement of auxiliary generator pads;
Construction of fences and gates;
Placement of utility poles, lines, switching yards;
Placement of vater and sever lines; and
Placement of natural gas or fuel oil lines.

Utility construction depended primarily on how service was provided to the site. Nike batteries generally used existing commercial sources, and therefore, only onsite connections and distribution systems were necessary. Where sewerage was handled onsite, no connections were necessary.

Missile assembly primarily involved the unification of missile components shipped inert to the site in special containers. Components were removed from the containers and assembled, generally in a designated assembly area or building.

Additionally, assembly operations involved attaching and aligning the fins and mounting the missile to the launch carriage or assembly. Warhead placement, also a part of the initial assembly, was performed in a designated "warheading" building. Additional aspects of assembly involved pressurization, addition of hydraulic fluids, and connection of electrical/electronic cable systems for integration with Launch Control.

Assembly operations presented minimal potential for contaminant generation, although there was some use of solvents for cleaning of electrical contacts and assembly points. There was also some spillage of hydraulic fluids during the initial filling process.

Testing of missiles and support equipment at battery sites was limited to integrity/function testing of electrical, electronic, hydraulic, and mechanical systems. In addition, testing of personnel response and mobilization was conducted. All firing was restricted to designated proving ground ranges.

In the permafrost regions of Alaska and Greenland, aboveground facilities and the installation of refrigerant systems to cool any surfaces contacting the ground were required.

6.1.2 OPERATION

During the operational life of an Ajax battery, several onsite operations involved toxic and hazardous materials. These operations included fueling, defueling, and the addition/changeout of missile constituents; support equipment maintenance and repair; and materiel handling and storage. Approved general operational procedures appear to have been consistent throughout CONUS, although many battery-specific variations were found.

Contaminants of concern for the Ajax missile include liquid fuel, UDMH, aniline, and IRFNA. In general, these materials were handled so as to generate minimal spillage or ground contamination, primarily due to concerns for the safety of battery personnel. Typically, these materials were pumped or gravity fed into the missile from containers and returned to containers for turn-in during maintenance or disassembly. Some spillage occurred from

5

Ś

line disconnecting and flushing, but in most instances this was limited. Spillage was typically washed into a ground sump at the fueling/defueling point.

Some batteries reportedly made routine use of a sump for disposal of IRFNA. Magazine areas infrequently received spillage of fuels and hydraulic fluids from component leakage or rupture. In such cases, the majority of the spillage was handled by absorbent rags or compounds which were subsequently disposed of offsite with domestic trash. The area was then washed down. Wash water went to the magazine sump and was subsequently pumped to the surface, and discharged to the surface drainage system.

With the Ajax system, a 2-year rotational maintenance protocol was established about 1960. Prior to this, there was no routine maintenance of the missile or launcher, but only "as-needed" maintenance and repair. Rotational maintenance involved removal and replacement of fuel, UDMH, and IRFNA. In addition to the 2-year rotational maintenance, electrical batteries were changed-out monthly (one battery per missile). Battery acid was disposed of in a variety of ways, including dumping into a ground sump, dumping into a soda pit, pouring into a soda drum, or pouring into the latrines.

 \sim

C

~

1 12

 $\mathfrak{O}^{\mathbf{N}}$

•

φ

. م

Disposal of hydraulic fluid from missiles varied, dependent again on the individual battery. Sometimes the fluid was flushed into drums for turn-in, flushed into a ground sump, or used for weed control around buildings and fences. Most of the hydraulic fluid used was contained in missile launchers. This fluid was changed annually or more frequently, in some cases. Quantities of hydraulic fluid dumped reportedly ranged from 1,900 to 3,785 1 per year. In addition, hydraulic fluid spills in the magazines and at launch pads were common, both from routine maintenance and rupture of lines.

Support equipment maintained onsite included launchers, vehicles, and electrical generators. The typical Ajax battery had a 10- to 12-vehicle

motor pool split between the battery control and launch areas and one or sometimes two generator buildings. Only organizational maintenance (oil changes, tune-ups, and minor repair) was conducted at the battery site. Primary wastes generated from support equipment maintenance were battery acid, waste oil, brake fluid, transmission fluid, and spent solvents.

Vehicle, generator, and launcher maintenance/repair conducted in the launch area generally involved the use of various solvents, cleaners, paints, and thinners. Notable were trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, toluene, carbon tetrachloride, acetone, and alcohol. These were used for a variety of functions, including cleaning of contacting parts, cleaning of grease spills or stains, and overall cleaning in preparation for painting.

Typically, the use of solvents and cleaners was concentrated in the launch area and repair areas. Quantities used at any one time were generally low, with little waste generated. However, at certain batteries and prior to inspection, much larger quantities were used for general surficial cleaning. Typical quantities used reportedly ranged from 30 to 120 1 per month for trichloroethylene and 190 to 380 1 per month for other solvents, primarily non-chlorinated hydrocarbons such as Stoddard solvents or PD-680.

Waste solvents and cleaners were typically poured into a ground sump where they soaked into the ground, but were sometimes drummed for turn-in. When larger quantities were used for overall cleaning, residues were washed into a sump or onto the ground surface. Battery acid was dumped into a sump or otherwise disposed of onsite. Waste oil was frequently dumped into a sump, although drumming for turn-in was also commonly practiced.

Maintenance/repair activities at the battery control area centered on electrical and electronic equipment and components. Primary substances used in maintenance were the same solvents and cleaners used in support equipment maintenance. These were used in small quantities to clean electronic components and contacts; the process was often followed by blow-drying. Wastes were thus minimal and would have been dumped on the ground or into a sump.

6-5

motor pool split between the battery control and launch areas and one or sometimes two generator buildings. Only organizational maintenance (oil changes, tune-ups, and minor repair) was conducted at the battery site. Primary wastes generated from support equipment maintenance were battery acid, waste oil, brake fluid, transmission fluid, and spent solvents.

Vehicle, generator, and launcher maintenance/repair conducted in the launch area generally involved the use of various solvents, cleaners, paints, and thinners. Notable were trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, toluene, carbon tetrachloride, acetone, and alcohol. These were used for a variety of functions, including cleaning of contacting parts, cleaning of grease spills or stains, and overall cleaning in preparation for painting.

Typically, the use of solvents and cleaners was concentrated in the launch area and repair areas. Quantities used at any one time were generally low, with little waste generated. However, at certain batteries and prior to inspection, much larger quantities were used for general surficial cleaning. Typical quantities used reportedly ranged from 30 to 120 1 per month for trichloroethylene and 190 to 380 1 per month for other solvents, primarily non-chlorinated hydrocarbons such as Stoddard solvents or PD-680.

Waste solvents and cleaners were typically poured into a ground sump where they soaked into the ground, but were sometimes drummed for turn-in. When larger quantities were used for overall cleaning, residues were washed into a sump or onto the ground surface. Battery acid was dumped into a sump or otherwise disposed of onsite. Waste oil was frequently dumped into a sump, although drumming for turn-in was also commonly practiced.

Maintenance/repair activities at the battery control area centered on electrical and electronic equipment and components. Primary substances used in maintenance were the same solvents and cleaners used in support equipment aintenance. These were used in small quantities to clean electronic components and contacts; the process was often followed by blow-drying. Wastes were thus minimal and would have been dumped on the ground or into a sump.

÷.

Equipment replacement in the battery control area included small capacitors and transformers and low-level radiation sources such as dials and electron tubes. These were typically turned in to property disposal channels. When tube breakage did occur, it was handled by bagging and turn-in for disposal.

Maintenance/repairs in the barracks area were generally limited to typical cleaning, painting, carpentry, plumbing, and electrical activities. The only wastes of concern generated would be paint, paint thinners, and possibly cleaning agents (caustics, soaps). Paint waste and waste thinners were likely ground dumped or poured into latrines, as would also likely be the case with the cleaning agents.

It is not known if mess halls were equipped with grease traps and, if so, how these were emptied and how the grease was disposed of. Other garbage would likely have been hauled offsite with other domestic trash.

All chemicals and fuels used for missile operation, as well as solvents, paints, and cleaners used in the maintenance of equipment, were stored in designated buildings or on concrete sand-bag bermed pads with or without roofs. Solvents and cleaning agents were routinely kept on hand in .55-gallon (gal) drums. Materials were segregated based on compatibility, resulting in a number of storage buildings or areas. Buildings generally had bermed floors to contain leakage or spillage. In some cases, absorbent materials were placed on the floor to absorb leaked or spilled material. Otherwise, leakage or spillage would be handled by absorption and decontamination (i.e., flushing, neutralizing).

Quantities of missile fueling liquids stored were limited to those needed to service two or three missiles. Fuels for vehicles were stored in aboveground and underground tanks. No leak checking was conducted, and spillage during fuel transfers was reportedly frequent.

Waste materials (solvents, fuels, UDMH, IRFNA) were drummed and stored in a bermed building or storage area for pick-up by property disposal personnel.

The quantities of waste materials in storage at any one time were generally small. Information indicates that leakage or spillage in the storage areas was uncommon and involved very small quantities. Overall, potential for ground contamination from storage areas appears to be small.

6.1.3 CONVERSION/DEACTIVATION

113

7

5

Beginning in the late 1950s, deactivation and/or conversion of batteries from Ajax to the Hercules system were initiated under the direction of the missile battalions. A number of batteries remained as Ajax or were only partially converted to Hercules. In general, deactivation was a reversal of the deployment process in terms of material handling and disposition. Ajax hardware was shipped to depot-level supply points, while expendable items that could be used with Hercules were retained at converted sites. At deactivated sites, both equipment and supplies were returned to depotsupply.

The deactivation and disposition of the missile and launcher were generally a reversal of the initial assembly/activation process. The missile and launcher were purged of fuel, UDMH or aniline, IRFNA, and hydraulic fluid using normal defueling procedures. Wastes were either recontained for property turn-in or disposed of in onsite ground sumps or onsite spectic systems. Missiles were disassembled and recontainerized.

It was also frequently necessary during a conversion or deactivation process to dispose of fuels and other chemicals in excess of recorded inventory. This material was generally dumped onsite in a ground sump or on the surface. Some instances were reported where excess material was transported offsite and dumped in open areas nearby, and limited instances of full UDHH containers being found buried at old Nike sites have been documented. All fresh fuels and other chemicals were typically turned in to depot supply. All magazine hardware was retained for subsequent disposition or conversion. Elevators remained functional. Some equipment_alterations were required for the conversion from the Ajax to the Hercules system. Some magazines needed to be enlarged (width) to contain the larger Hercules missiles. The launcher and missile systems were larger and heavier, requiring a higher-capacity lift system. The launcher and rail systems were also replaced. Any alteration or replacement of existing structures would represent limited potential for contaminant generation, although some POL products and hydraulic fluids would have been wasted during replacement or alteration and probably disposed of onsite.

After battery personnel departed the battery site, physical closure or subsequent maintenance was the responsibility of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE).

Prior to reporting any property eligible for excess, COE personnel generally conducted an inspection and survey of the property, and secured the property until subsequent disposition. Typically, the inspection or survey would consider aspects of safety and contamination, as well as securing the site from public access.

6.2 HERCULES

N

117

1

0

¢ ...

2. 2.

O

For the most part, the life cycle features of a typical Hercules battery varied little from those of the Ajax system. In most cases, Hercules missiles were deployed at existing Ajax battery locations. Other features of deployment and deactivation/site closure were essentially similar to those for Ajax, although there were some operational differences. The following discussion will detail only those aspects of the Hercules life cycle different from the Ajax life cycle. It must be noted that, at some batteries, both Hercules and Ajax were deployed at a site for at least some period of time.

6.2.1 DEPLOYMENT

Site preparation was necessary only at those sites where the deployment was new, rather than at a conversion site. New site preparation was essentially similar to that detailed for Ajax.

New construction at converted sites included alteration of storage facilities; some expansion of missile assembly, warheading, and repair buildings; and changes in the rail transport and missile lift assemblies.

Assembly and testing of Hercules were similar to Ajax; the only exception was the use of solid fuel in Hercules, thus eliminating the liquid fueling procedures. The solid fuel was a cast propellant, with the grain being an integral part of the motor, eliminating the need for fuel handling.

6.2.2 OPERATION

m

e 4

123

1.00

0

د میر د مغ

· ?>

5

The major operational changes involved the solid fuel system adopted for the Hercules. This eliminated the UDMH, aniline, IRFNA, and JP-4 from the operations and greatly reduced routine maintenance requirements. This also reduced the variety and quantity of fresh and waste liquids handled at the site. Support equipment maintenance/repair operations for Hercules were similar to those of the Ajax system and involved the use of solvents and cleaning agents. The use of carbon tetrachloride and trichloroethylene was gradually phased out during Hercules, and PD-680 or Stoddard II solvents were introduced. Reportedly, the extent of solvent use depended somewhat on particular tasks performed at the battery, which, in turn, depended on the expertise of personnel assigned to the site.

Early models of the Hercules used ethylene oxide to power control surfaces. This material was generally drained into containers for turn-in or into a ground sump. Early Hercules also used three liquid electrolyte batteries per missile. These were changed-out monthly, the waste acid sent to ground sumps, latrines, or to neutralizing drums for turn-in. The liquidelectrolyte batteries were later replaced by nickel-cadmium batteries, which did not involve any fluid changing. These were replaced monthly; the old batteries were turned in to property disposal personnel for disposition.

There appear to be no significant regional differences in the operational aspects of the Hercules system. Variability was primarily due to batteryto-battery differences, as was the case with the Ajax system.

Storage of materials at the typical Hercules battery was similar to storage at Ajax batteries. There was some indication that onsite storage of waste POL, solvents, and paints may have increased in the years after 1965 due to increased awareness of potential problems related to onsite dumping.

6.2.3 CONVERSION/DEACTIVATION

The Hercules was the final system deployed under the Nike program. As a result, the final phase of the Hercules life cycle was one of deactivation and site closure. Essentially, the deactivation/closure process was identical to that of Ajax sites not converted to Hercules and largely consisted of equipment inactivation and turn-in. Missiles were disassembled and containerized for shipment. All launcher and magazine hardware was removed, generally excluding the elevator systems. Containerized wastes and fresh materials were turned into depot supply. Excess inventories were typically disposed of onsite, generally into a ground sump. All launch control, radar, and support equipment was turned in for disposition.

Site closure was primarily the responsibility of the appropriate COE district and may or may not have involved a closure survey of contamination and safety aspects. The battery sites were at least physically secured from unauthorized entry. Final closure was largely dependent upon subsequent usage (leasing, excessing, etc.). App. F provides a listing of sites and their property disposition after closure. No record was found of any routine site decontamination or of any formal procedure for well capping or magazine closure. Some site cleanups have been conducted on a case-by-case basis in response to specific problems or complaints.

7.0 ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES

The materials potentially released to the environment at Nike sites, their quantities, and their sources are summarized in Table 7.0-1. Materials, use areas, and disposal methods were confirmed by at least two independent sources. Quantities used and dates reflect the best possible estimate based on reports which were in some cases widely variable. Some quantity estimates (e.g., diesel and gasoline consumption) were derived from related information, such as the number of personnel, vehicles, and generators associated with a battery. The quantities listed in Table 7.0-1 are the annual usage rates and represent the maximum potential release to the environment and not the quantities actually disposed of onsite. The behavior and persistence of those materials listed in Table 7.0-1 are discussed in this section.

7.1 CHLORINATED ORGANIC SOLVENTS

÷

5

ാ

1.3

 $e_{1} \geq e_{2}$

100

an a C

5

Between the early 1950s and the mid-1970s, a variety of chlorinated organic solvents were utilized on Nike sites for cleaning and maintenance activities. At many of the early Ajax sites carbon tetrachloride was used as a multi-purpose solvent. Carbon tetrachloride was gradually replaced, in turn, by trichloroethylene and trichloroethane.

As shown by the data in Table 7.1-1, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, and trichloroethane are nonreactive compounds in the environment. The solvents are not subject to photolytic, oxidative, hydrolytic, or biologic reactions to a significant degree.

The volatility of the compounds is such that a portion of the solvent would have evaporated during use, reducing the amount that might have been disposed of on the site itself. The volatility of these solvents also precludes any residual contamination of surface waters, except where contaminated ground water might be contributing to surface water flows.

94139351316

| Conteminant | Quantity U (1/year | | <u>Routine Di</u> Primary | spossl Methods Secondary | Incidental Releases | Dates |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 1,000- 2,0 | 00 LA, Motor Pool | Sump | Surface Dump | | 1954-1962 |
| Trichloroethylene | 360- 1,4 | 00 LA, Hotor Pool | Sump | Surface Dump | | 1956-1970 |
| Trichloroethane | 1,000- 2,0 | 00 LA, Motor Pool | Sump | Surface Dump | | 1958-1974 |
| IRFNA** | 500- 1,5 | 00 Fuel/Defuel | Sump | Turn-in | Line Rupture | 1954-1964 |
| Aniline-Furfuryl | | | | | | |
| Alcohol** | 20- | 30 Fuel/Defuel | Turn-in | Dump/Burial | Line Rupture | 1954-1958 |
| UDHH** | 20- | 30 Fuel/Defuel · | Turn-in | Dump/Burial | Line Rupture | 1956-1964 |
| Battery Electrolyte | 100- 3 | DO LA, Hotor Pool | Sump | Sewer | | 1954-1978 |
| JP-4** | 2,000- 3,0 | 00 Fuel/Defuel | Turn-in | | Leskage | 1954-1978 |
| Diesel/Gasoline | 50,000-100,0 | 00 Hotor Pool, Generator | Consump | tive Use | Leakage | 1954-1978 |
| Stoddard Type II | 2,000- 4,0 | 00 LA, Motor Pool | Sump | Turn-in | | 1965-1978 |
| No. 2 Fuel Oil | 20,000~ 50,00 | DO LA, BCA, Housing | Consump | tive Use | Leakage | 1954-1978 |
| Motor Oil | 400- 6 | 00 Hotor Pool | Turn-in | Sump | | 1954-1978 |
| Hydraulic Fluid | 1,900- 3,7 | 80 LA, Motor Pool | Sump | | Line Rupture | 1954-1978 |

.

Table 7.0-1. Summary of Environmental Releases at a Nike Missile Battery

•

.

* LA = Launch Area BCA = Battery Control Area ** Ajax Only

.

1-

Source: ESE, 1983.

τ.

93139753317

. .

| Property | Carbon Tetrachloride | Trichloroethylene | l,1,1- Trichloroethane | l,1,2- Trichloroethane |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Holecular weight | 153.82 | 131.39 | 133.41 | 133.41 |
| Helting point, (^O C) | -22.99 | -73 | -30.41 | -36.5 |
| Boiling point, (^O C) Ionization constant | 76.54 ŅA+ | 87 NA | 74.1 NA | 113.77 NA |
| Water solubility (mg/l) Octanol-water partition constant (K) | 785 (20 ^o C) 912 | 1100 (20 ⁰ C) 69 | 720 (25 ^o C) 320 | 4500 (20 ^o c) 117 |
| Sediment-water partition constant (K oc) | 439 | 33 | 152 | 56 |
| Henry's constant (atm m ³ mol ⁻¹) | 0.023 | 0.0091 | 0.03 | 7.42×10^{-4} |
| Vapor pressure (torr) | 90 (20 ⁰ C) | 57.9 (20 °C) | 123 (25 ^o c) | 19 (20 ^o c) |
| Photolysis rate constant (hr ⁻¹) | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Dxidstion rate constant (mole ⁻¹ hr ⁻¹) | <<360 | <10 ³ | <<360 | <<360 |
| lydrolysis rate constant (hr ⁻¹) | NA | 0 | 1.7×10^{-4} | 1.2×10^{-7} |
| diotransformation rate constant (ml cell ⁻¹ hr ⁻¹) | 1×10^{-10} | 1×10^{-10} | (neutral, 25 ^O C) NA | 3×10^{-12} |

Table 7.1-1. Physical and Transport Data for Chlorinated Organic Solvents

Source: Habey et al., 1981.

.

2 N

"NA - Not Appreciable. While actual values were not provided in the source literature, these rates and constants are either 0 or so low that the associated transformation processes are inconsequential in the environment.

.

•

The sediment-water partition constants (K_{oc} , Table 7.1-1) indicate that solvents poured onto the ground would experience some retardation relative to percolating water due to adsorption by soil organic matter. Since the extent of sorption is related to the organic carbon content of soils by the K_{oc} , the degree of sorption will vary from one soil to another, with sandy soils sorbing less than more organic soils. Temporary immobilization in the upper layers of the soil provides further opportunity for volatilization prior to incorporation into ground water.

The lack of hydrolytic reactivity and the tendency to be sorbed suggest that once introduced into ground water, the chlorinated solvents could be persistent, particularly in those instances where ground water movement is slow.

Presented in Table 7.1-2 is a summary of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) criteria for chlorinated solvents and other parameters associated with Nike wastes. These criteria are recommended for the protection of human health and for maintenance of a healthy, freshwater aquatic ecosystem. National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NIPDWR) standards are included when applicable. The aquatic life criteria listed reflect levels of acute toxicity to typical, but not necessarily the most sensitive, aquatic organisms. The human health criteria cited are levels at which an incremental increase of 10^{-5} in cancer risk may result over a lifetime due to consumption of contaminated water or organisms inhabiting the water. The criteria in Table 7.1-2 do not apply to ground water, except where they serve as a drinking water supply. Ground water does, however, become subject to surface water criteria when it surfaces as seeps, springs, or otherwise becomes incorporated in surface water.

7.2 HYDROCARBONS

30

0

270

 $7 \sim 10$

C

5

A variety of hydrocarbon products were utilized or stored on Nike batteries, including JP-4, diesel fuel, gasoline, fuel oil, hydraulic fluid, and motor oil. Each of these products consists of a large number of components

| | Maximum Drinking | Freshwater Aquatic Life* | | Human Health* | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| rameter | Water Contaminant Level (ug/l) | 24-Hour Average (ug/1) | Maximum Level (ug/l) | Ingesting Water & Organisms (ug/l) | Ingesting Organisms Only (ug/1) |
| ad . | 50 | 20.0 | 400 | 50 | |
| l,l-Trichloroethane | | | . 31,200 | 18,400 | 1 x 10 ⁶ |
| 1,2-Trichloroethane | | | | 6 | 418 |
| ichloroethylene | | *- | 45,000 | 27 | 807 |
| rbon Tetrachloride | | | 35,200 | 4.0 | 69.4 |
| trate (as N) | 10 mg/1 | | | | |

ble 7.1-2. Current EPA Water Quality Standards/Criteris for Selected Contaminants

Friteria for 10^{-5} incremental increase of cancer risk (one additional case of cancer in populations of 100,000).

ource: EPA 1980, 1981

10.0

(individual compounds and isomers), discussed in aggregate due to their similar properties.

Once released either on or under ground, petroleum products, attenuated somewhat by sorption on soil, move downward until either a restrictive horizon or the water table is intercepted. The portion that reaches the ground water is partitioned into (1) a free product that forms on top of the water and spreads laterally, and (2) a dissolved or emulsified product in the aquifer (Yaniga, 1982). The amount of hydrocarbon dissolving in the water is small and depends in large part on the type of petroleum product. Typical saturation concentrations are 0.1 to 5 milligrams per liter (mg/1) for kerosene and petroleum, 10 to 50 mg/1 for diesel oil and extra light heating oil, and 50 to 500 mg/1 for gasoline (Matthess, 1982). The products tend to be persistent, particularly in ground water where photolysis and evaporation are not major processes.

Petroleum products in ground water are objectionable primarily because of odor and taste (organoleptic) problems. The odor and taste thresholds of petroleum products vary from about 0.001 mg/l (gasoline, diesel fuel, heating oil) to about 10 mg/l (benzole) (Matthess, 1982) and are less than toxic concentrations. At toxic levels, the contaminated water is unpalatable. Consequently, EPA has not established quantitative water quality criteria for petroleum hydrocarbons for the protection of human heath, since organoleptic constraints would preclude the ingestion of water contaminated to toxic levels. Other problems may also be associated with petroleum-contaminated water, including fire and explosive hazards.

7.3 IRFNA

0

00

. س

1.17

1

3

ي يەرم. چە

× ~

5

IRFNA consists of nitrogen dioxide dissolved in nitric acid. IRFNA is a powerful oxidizing agent and a strong acid and is, therefore, very reactive in the environment. Virtually all reactions, including neutralization of spilled or excess IRFNA, result in nitrate anions.

Water containing large quantities (greater than 100 mg/1) of nitrate is bitter tasting and may cause physiological stress. The NIPDWR standard for nitrate in drinking water is 10 mg/1.

- In a series of soil stability studies (ESE, 1982) it was found that less than 10 percent of the UDMH spiked onto a soil sample could be recovered after 1 hour. Recognizing that UDMH is volatile, a second experiment was run using capped containers to eliminate loss due to volatilization. Under bese circumstances the half-life of UDMH on moist soil was found to be less than 1 hour. The study concluded that UDMH is not persistent in moist soil.
 - ____7.5 <u>ANILINE--FURFURYL ALCOHOL</u>

Aniline was burned with furfuryl alcohol as a starter fuel in the very early years of the Ajax program in the second-stage starter fluid, and the small quantities disposed of were poured on the ground.

Aniline has a melting point of -6° C, a boiling point of 184° C, and a water solubility of 3,700 mg/l. While environmental fate data were not located for aniline, data for benzidine (EPA, 1981), a similar compound, suggest that aniline is not a persistent compound. Both oxidation and photolysis contribute to its transformation. In addition, benzidine is strongly sorbed onto clays and is not significantly bioaccumulated.

Furfuryl alcohol is very soluble in water and has a low volatility (vapor pressure = one torr at 31° C). It behaves as a primary alcohol, undergoing

oxidation and acid hydrolysis. While moderately stable and moderately toxic $(LD_{50} = 275 \text{ mg/kg} \text{ in rats})$, the small quantity of furfuryl alcohol that was discarded and its high solubility suggest that it would not be present in the environment in significant concentrations.

7.6 BATTERY ELECTROLYTE

The disposal of lead battery electrolyte, often accompanied by acid neutralization, resulted in the release of lead to soils and potentially to surface water and ground water. Normally lead is immobilized in soil, its very low solubility restricting downward movement into ground water (Brady, 1974). The disposal of large quantities of unneutralized electrolyte at the same location each month would enhance the possibility of contaminating ground water.

7.7 RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

2

 \sim

00

1.17

t 51 - 1

Ch

5

5

The maintenance of Nike Hercules nuclear warheads required periodic wipe tests to establish the absence of radioactive leaks. The wipes were disposed of in facilities licensed by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). Often these facilities consisted of a lead-lined drum kept in a secure location. Defective electron tubes containing radioactive isotopes were also kept in these drums. The storage facilities were monitored for radioactivity in compliance with the terms of the AEC license. At the time of deactivation the storage facilities were reportedly closed and removed in accordance with AEC requirements. No instances of release of radioactive materials into the environment were reported.

7.8 <u>RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION</u>

Considering the quantities of waste materials released at Nike sites and the environmental properties of these materials, the potential for contaminants to still be present in the environment in significant concentrations is limited to only a few substances. At both Ajax and Hercules sites the use and disposal of chlorinated organic solvents, various hydrocarbon products, and battery electrolyte introduced persistent contaminants into the environment. In addition, nitric acid was disposed of on Nike Ájax

sites. The potential for such contaminants to have persisted to this time in undesirable concentrations varies greatly from site to site. The actual quantities released, method of disposal used, properties of soils, nature of the ground water system, utilization of ground water and soil systems, and general environmental conditions combine to mitigate or exacerbate any materials released at any given site.

Whether or not the contaminants were containerized at the time of disposal is particularly significant. Burial of materials in containers presents the possibility of environmental release at a later time when the container corrodes or breaks. In such cases the extent of existing contamination may be greater than what would have been expected, given the time that has elapsed since the Nike system was deactivated.

 \mathbf{C}

00

he:

100

Ο.

et. "

1. . **.** .

5

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

- The Nike missile system in CONUS began with deployment of the Nike Ajax missile in 1954. Deployment of the Nike Hercules began in 1958, completely replacing the Nike Ajax by 1964. In 1974, the Nike Hercules was withdrawn from service as an anti-aircraft weapon in CONUS. Nike Zeus was developed and tested from 1956 to 1962, but was never deployed.
- 2. Nike missile sites were primarily located in the vicinity of major metropolitan areas and strategic military installations.

ţ

Í

J

C

10

44 14 - 1

5

- 3. The Nike Ajax used only high explosive warheads. The Hercules missles used primarily nuclear warheads, but were capable of being fitted with high explosive warheads.
- 4. Among the substances used or stored on Nike sites were liquid missile fuel (JP-4); starter fluids (UDMH, aniline, and furfuryl alcohol); oxidizer (IRFNA); hydrocarbons (motor oil, hydraulic fluid, diesel fuel, gasoline, heating oil); solvents (carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, trichloroethane, stoddard solvent); and battery electrolyte.
- 5. In general, the missile fuels and oxidizers were carefully controlled. Releases to the environment were confined to IRFNA and very small quantities of the other liquids. With the close of the Nike Ajax system in 1964, the use of liquid fuels was discontinued.
- 6. The quantities of materials disposed of and procedures for disposal are not documented in published reports. Virtually all information concerning the potential for contamination at Nike sites is confined to personnel who were assigned to Nike sites.
- 7. There sppeared to be no systematic regional variation in procedures or disposal practices. Variations in procedures and disposal did result

from battalion-specific practices and adaptations to permafrost conditions.

- 8. Most Nike sites were equipped with sumps for disposing of liquid wastes. Any liquid disposed of in such sumps was allowed to soak into the ground. Alternatively, temporary storage and offsite disposal were used to handle liquid waste at some sites.
- 9. Of the materials disposed of at Nike sites, chlorinated organic solvents present the highest potential for residual contamination. At some sites the possibility of hydrocarbon, lead, or nitrate contamination may exist.

S

0

(

per se

 \mathbf{L}^{pn}

Ch

. .

÷.⊢.

10. During deactivation most hardware was shipped to depot-level supply points. There were reportedly instances where excess materials were disposed of on or near the site itself at closure. There was reportedly no routine site decontamination.

9.0 REFERENCES

Brady, N.C. 1974. The Nature and Properties of Soils. Eighth Edition. MacMillan Publishing Co., Inc. New York, N.Y.

Burgess, E. 1957. Guided Weapons. MacMillan Company, New York.

- Cagle, M.T. 1959. Historical Monograph: Development, Production, and Deployment of the Nike Ajax Guided Missile System, 1945-1959. U.S. Army Ordnance Missile Command (AOMC), Redstone Arsenal, Ala.
- Cagle, M.T. 1973. Untitled Report on the Evolution of the Nike Hercules Weapon System. U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM) Historical Office, Redstone Arsenal, Ala.
- Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE). 1982. Research and Development Services: Methods Development. Report No.
 DRXTH-TE-CR-82165. Gainesville, Fla.
- Mabey, W.R., Smith, J.H., Podoll, R.T., Johnson, H.L., Mill, T., Chou, T.W.,
 Gates, J., Partridge, I.W., and Vandenberg, D. 1981. Aquatic Fate
 Process Data for Organic Priority Pollutants. EPA Contract Nos.
 68-01-3867 and 68-03-2981.
- Matthess, G. 1982. The Properties of Groundwater. John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y.
 - Ordway, F.I. and Wakeford, R.C. 1960. International Missile and Spacecraft Guide. McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y.

1 : He

5- y -s

- Parson, N.A., Jr. 1962. Missiles and the Revolution in Warfare. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Secretary of Defense. 1959. Correspondence from Neil McElroy to Dwight D. Eisenhower Regarding Summary of Progress on Anti-Ballistic Missile Weapon System Program for Quarter Ending July 15, 1959.
 - Todd, D.K. 1980. Groundwater Hydrology. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y.
 - U.S. Army. 1956. Procedures and Drills for the Nike I System. Department of the Army Technical Manual FM44-80. Washington, D.C.
 - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1980. Water Quality Criteria Documents: Availability. Federal Register, 45 (231): 79318-79379.
 - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1981. National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 141, pp. 309-354.
 - Yaniga, P.M. 1982. Alternatives in Decontamination for Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Aquifers. In: Ground Water Monitoring Review, Fall 1982, Volume 2, Number 4.

APPENDIX A

1

て

2 1

257

ي. م

6

ADDITIONAL SOURCES CONSULTED

APPENDIX A

ADDITIONAL SOURCES CONSULTED

- Anonymous, n.d. Appendix D. Site Construction and Deployment of CONUS (ARADCOM) Units (U): I-Converted Defense Sites, II-New Defense Sites.
- Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. 1959. Nike Hercules Field Army System. Prepared for the Department of the Army, Project No. DA-516-04-008. Whippany, N.J.
- Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. 1960. Cross-Country Nike Hercules: Antiaircraft, Surface-to-Surface, Anti-Short-Range Ballistic Missiles. Prepared for the Department of the Army, Contract No. DA-30-069-ORD-1082. Whippany, N.J.
- Gay, J.H. 1956. A Simplified Doctrinal Study for Nike in Metropolitan Defense. Princeton University, Analytical Research Group Technical Report No. 16. Princeton, N.J.
 - Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. 1964. The Story of Fort Bliss. El Paso, Tex.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. Directorate of Industrial Operations, Missiles and Systems Branch. El Paso, Tex.
- Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. Directorate of Plans and Training:
 - (1) Plans and Operations Division
 - (2) Test and Evaluation Division

8

 $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$

c

113

<u>5</u>

ζ.

***** 1

- (3) Range Branch. El Paso, Tex.
- NATO Maintenance and Supply Agency (NAMSA). Nike Training Center, Course Development and Organization Branch. Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. El Paso, Tex.
 - National Personnel Records Center. National Archives and Records Service. Directory of Military Personnel and Related Records. St. Louis, Mo.
 - North American Air Defense Command (NORAD), Headquarters. History Office, Colorado Springs, Colo.
 - North American Air Defense Command (NORAD). Miscellaneous Maps of the Air Defense Network. Colorado Springs, Colo.
 - U.S. Army Air Defense School, Directorate of Training Development. Patriot/Hercules Division, Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. El Paso, Tex.
 - U.S. Army Air Defense School, Directorate of Training and Doctrine. Hercules/Patriot Department, Headquarters, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss. El Paso, Tex.

U.S. Army, Center for Military History. Carlisle Barracks, Pa.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE). 1977. Agencies List of July 1, 1977.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE). District Offices.
 - (1) Real Estate Division, Audit and Management Disposal Branches, Omaha, Nebr.;
 - (2) Real Estate Division, Louisville, Ky.;

0

4.1

52%

5

- (3) Real Estate Division, Management and Disposal Branch, Sacramento, Calif.;
- (4) Real Estate Division, Management and Disposal Branch, New York, N.Y.;
- (5) Real Estate Division, Audit Branch, Jacksonville, Fla.;
- (6) Real Estate Division, Audit Branch, Los Angeles, Calif.;
- (7) Real Estate Division, Plans and Contracts Branch, Seattle, Wash.
- U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA). 1966. Report of Special Study No. 5299R89-66-67, X-Ray Hazards, Nike Hercules High Power Acquisition Radar (HIPAR). Edgewood Arsenal, Md.
- U.S. Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command (DARCOM). Missile Command (MICOM) Representative. El Paso, Tex.
- U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA). 1980. Historical Summary Report of Findings and Recommendations for Providence Defense Area, Nike Batteries: Battery 38, Bristol, Rhode Island; Battery 58, North Kingstown (Davisville), Rhode Island; Battery 79, Foster, Rhode. Island; and Battery 99, North Smithfield, Rhode Island. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. Report No. A005.

A-2

APPENDIX B

LOCATIONS OF FORMER NIKE MISSILE SITES

Appendix B consists of two tables and a series of maps which can be used, individually or in conjunction with each other, to identify the locations of former Nike missile sites.

Appendix B-1 is a table of Nike missile locations compiled from information provided by Real Property Offices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (CE). The table is organized by state and provides the CE site designation, location (as indicated in the CE records), and comments regarding whether or not the site is still Army real property (ARP). Where provided by CE, dates of excessing are given for sites that are not Army real property (NARP).

Appendix B-2 is a listing of Nike sites showing responsible units, periods of activity, and locations. This table was compiled from historical records obtained from the Military History Institute, Carlisle Barracks, Pa. for the years 1954 through 1974. The dates of operation and locations at which each military unit operated a Nike site were determined by reviewing individual annual listings. The letters AC in the Hercules closing date column indicate an active status as of the last year of record (1974). The remarks column gives additional locations at which the particular battery was listed, and the date such listing appeared. In some cases, these additional locations may represent a physical relocation of battery personnel. In most instances, they appear to represent alternative names for the same site.

While some Nike sites can be unambiguously located in both Appendix B-1 and Appendix B-2, this is often not possible. For example, Appendix B-2 uses town/county location names for the Pennsylvania sites that can not be readily correlated with the sites that Appendix B-1 lists as being in Philadelphia. This inconsistency is inherent in the source documents and can not be readily resolved. Despite the inability to completely correlate the information in Appendix B-1 with the information in Appendix B-2, each provides useful information not found in the other. The nature of the sources for both tables is such that the listings of Nike sites may be incomplete.

APPENDLX B

LOCATIONS OF FORMER NIKE MISSILE SITES

Appendix B consists of two tables and a series of maps which can be used, individually or in conjunction with each other, to identify the locations of former Nike missile sites.

Appendix B-1 is a table of Nike missile locations compiled from information provided by Real Property Offices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (CE). The table is organized by state and provides the CE site designation, location (as indicated in the CE records), and comments regarding whether or not the site is still Army real property (ARP). Where provided by CE, dates of excessing are given for sites that are not Army real property (NARP).

Appendix B-2 is a listing of Nike sites showing responsible units, periods of activity, and locations. This table was compiled from historical records obtained from the Military History Institute, Carlisle Barracks, Pa. for the years 1954 through 1974. The dates of operation and locations at which each military unit operated a Nike site were determined by reviewing individual annual listings. The letters AC in the Hercules closing date column indicate an active status as of the last year of record (1974). The remarks column gives additional locations at which the particular battery was listed, and the date such listing appeared. In some cases, these additional locations may represent a physical relocation of battery personnel. In most instances, they appear to represent alternative names for the same site.

While some Nike sites can be unambiguously located in both Appendix B-1 and Appendix B-2, this is often not possible. For example, Appendix B-2 uses town/county location names for the Pennsylvania sites that can not be readily correlated with the sites that Appendix B-1 lists as being in Philadelphia. This inconsistency is inherent in the source documents and can not be readily resolved. Despite the inability to completely correlate the information in Appendix B-1 with the information in Appendix B-2, each provides useful information not found in the other. The nature of the sources for both tables is such that the listings of Nike sites may be incomplete.

APPENDIX B

LOCATIONS OF FORMER NIKE MISSILE SITES

σ

The maps presented in Appendix B-3 show the locations of ARADCOM sites in CONUS as of 1968 and give certain information about the unit and equipment at each site. The top line in each box gives the CE designation for the property, such as LA-04. The second line is a military unit designation (for example, A/4/65 to indicate A Battery, 4th Battalion, 65th Artillery). Other items in the boxes describe certain equipment at the site, such as HIPAR for high-power acquisition radar, and HAWK for a Hawk missile battery. The other codes have not been identified. These maps were included in an annual historical summary report produced in 1968 and obtained from the NORAD History Office in Colorado Springs, Colo. Similar maps could not be located for other years.

| State | Designation | Location | - Comments |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Alaska | 02-701 | Fairbanks | NARP |
| | 02-714 | Fairbanks | ARP |
| | 02-709 | Fairbanks | ARP (CE) |
| | 02-731 | Fairbanks | ARP (CE) |
| | 02-711 | Fairbanks | ARP (in pool for Native Corr |
| | 02-725 | Anchorage | NARP |
| | 02-695 | Anchorage | NARP |
| California | LA 04 | Mt. Gleason | NARP |
| | LA 09 | Mt. Disappointment | NARP |
| | LA 14 | Whittier Narrows | NARP |
| | LA 29 | Brea | NARP |
| | LA 32 | Los Alamitos | NARP |
| | LA 40 | Lakewood | NARP |
| | LA 43 | Ft. MacArthur | * |
| | LA 55 | Point Vicente | NARP |
| | LA 57 | Redondo Beach | * |
| | LA 70 | Playa Del Rey | * |
| | LA 73 | Playa Del Rey | * |
| | LA 78 | Santa Monica Mtns. | NARP |
| | LA 88 | Oak Mtn. | NARP |
| | LA 94 | Los Pinetos Mtn. | * |
| | LA 95 | Los Angeles Area | NARP |
| | LA 96 | Metro LA Area | NARP |
| | LA 98 | Van Nuys (Magic Mtn.) | NARP |
| | | Balsa Chica | NARP |
| | SF 25 | Oakland | NARP excessed 1964 |
| | SF 31 | Oakland | NARP excessed 1975 |
| | SF 37 | Alvarado | NARP excessed 1963 |
| | SF 51 | Rockaway Beach | NARP excessed 1979 |
| | SF 59 | San Francisco | NARP excessed 1972 |
| | SF 87 | Ft. Barry | * |
| | SF 88 | Ft. Cronkhite | * |
| | SF 89 | San Francisco | NARP excessed 1963 |
| | SF 91 | San Francisco | NARP excessed 1963 |
| | SF 93 | San Refael | NARP excessed 1972 |
| | Site 61-R | San Francisco | NARP excessed 1961 |
| | AAA Batt 12 | Berkeley | NARP excessed 1959 |
| | SF 08-09 | Berkeley | NARP excessed 1972 |
| | T 53 | Fairfield | NARP . |
| | Т 10 | Elmira | ARP |
| | Т 33 | Vocaville | NARP |
| | T 86 | Fairfield | NARP (19 ha), ARP (28 ha |
| | | | leased) |

APPENDIX 8-1 LOCATIONS OF NIKE MISSILE SITES IDENTIFIED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

<u>~</u>^ с^{ан., 18}1. (----1.19 œ . .

σ

M

| | APPENDIX 8-1 |
|---|--|
| · | LOCATIONS OF NIKE MISSILE SITES |
| | IDENTIFIED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS |

•

.

| State | Designation | Location | Comments | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Connecticut | BR 04 | Ansonia | * | | | |
| | BR 15 | New Haven | NARP | | | |
| | BR 17 | Bridgeport | NARP | | | |
| | BR 65 | Bridgeport | NARP | | | |
| | BR 73 | Westport | NARP | | | |
| | BR 94 | Monroe | NARP | | | |
| | HA 08 | East Windsor | * | | | |
| | HA 25 | Hartford | NARP | | | |
| | HA 36 | Portland | NARP | | | |
| | HA 48 | Cromwell | NARP | | | |
| | HA 67 | Plainville | NARP | | | |
| | HA 85 | Simsbury | NARP | | | |
| Florida | 12005 | Richmond AFB | NARP trans to USAF | | | |
| | 12150 | Broward Co. | l parcel ARP, l parcel NARP | | | |
| | 12159 | Dade Co. | NARP - sold by GSA | | | |
| | 12164 | Dade Co | NARP - sold by GSA | | | |
| | 12165 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| | 12170 | Dade Co. | NARP - sold by GSA | | | |
| • | 12180 | Dade Co. | NARP - transferred to Nat'l. Park Svc. | | | |
| | 12190 | Dade Co. | NARP - sold by GSA | | | |
| | 12195 | Miami . | ARP(permitted to INS refuge) | | | |
| | 12199 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| | 12201 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| | 12205 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| | 12209 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| | 12010 | Monroe Co. | NARP - transferred to Nav | | | |
| Georgia | TU 79 | Albany | NARP | | | |
| | R 128 | Jeffersonville | NARP | | | |
| | r 88 | Byron | NARP | | | |
| | TU 28 | Sylvester | NARP | | | |
| Havaii | Site I | Waialua, Oahu | NARP | | | |
| | Site 2 | Kahuku, Oahu | ARP | | | |
| | Site 3 & 4 | Hawaii Kai, Oahu | NARP | | | |
| | Site 5 & 6 | Makakilo, Oahu | ARP Excessed to GSA on 6 June 79 | | | |
| Idaho | 05 | Mountain Home AFB | NARP | | | |
| | 79 | Mountain Home AFB | NARP | | | |

| | C 40 C 03 C 44 | Chicago | NARP | |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | — | | |
| | C 44 | Chicago | NARP | |
| | - | Chicago | NARP | |
| | C 80 | Arlington | (ARP (US | GAR Center) |
| | c 41 - | Chicago | NARP | |
| | C 47 | Porter | NARP | |
| | C 49 | Homewood | * | |
| | C 51 | Alsip | NARP (3. | 0 ha leased ARP) |
| | C 54 | Orland Park | * | |
| | C 61 | DuPage Co. | ARP (lea | ised) |
| | C 70 | Naperville | NARP | |
| | C 72 | Addison | * | |
| | C 80 | Arlington Hts. | * | |
| | C 84 | Palatine | NAR P | |
| | C 93 | Northfield | NARP | |
| • | C 92-94 | Libertyville | NARP | |
| | C 98 | Ft. Sheridan | * | |
| | SL 90 | Grafton | NARP | |
| | SL'40 · | Monroe Co. | NARP | |
| | SL 10 | Madison, CO | NAR P | |
| Indiana | C 48 | Gary | NARP | |
| | C 45 · | Gary | NARP | |
| | C 46 | Munster | NARP | |
| | C 32 | Porter Co. | NARP | |
| | CD 63 | Dearborn | NARP | |
| Iowa | 10 | Council Bluffs | NAR P | |
| Kansas | KC 80 | Leavenworth Co. | NARP | 3/26/58-3/21/ |
| | KC 60 | Johnson Co. | NARP | 3/26/58-7/29/0 |
| | KC HQ | Olathe | NARP | 9/17/58-4/1/0 |
| | SC 01 | Ottawa | NARP | 3/10/59-2/2/ |
| | SC 50 | Saline Co. | NARP | 3/10/59-2/2/ |
| Louisiana | BD 10 | Bellevue | 1960- | |
| | BD 50 | Stonewall | 1960- | 1967 |
| Maine | LO 13 | Caswell | NAR P | |
| | 10 31 | Linestone | NARP | - |
| | LO 58 LO 85 | Presque Isle Connor | NAR P NAR P | |

വ സ

C."

بعري . ا

| State | Designation | Location | Comments | | |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Maryland | w 54 | Pomonkey | NARP to Navy | | |
| , | BA 03 | Phoenix | 37 ac. to Balt Co., 1976 | | |
| | BA 09 | Fork | Declared Excess | | |
| | W 25 | Davidsonville | NARP | | |
| | W 26 | Annapolis | NARP deeded to Navy | | |
| | BA 30,31 | Chestertown | NARP deeded to Kent Co. | | |
| | W 45 | Waldorf | NARP to Navy | | |
| | W 34 | Suitland | NARP trans. to Smithsonia Institute | | |
| | W 35 | Croom | * | | |
| | W 44 | Waldorf | Declared Excess | | |
| | BA 79 | Granite | NARP to GSA and State | | |
| | W 92 | Rockville | NARP to GSA | | |
| | W 93 | Fed. Regional Ctr. | NARP | | |
| | W 94 | Laytonsville | NARP to Navy & private | | |
| | BA 92 | Baltimore | NARP to GSA and USAR | | |
| | BA 43 | Anne Arunded Co. | NAR P | | |
| | W 36 | Brandywine | NARP | | |
| | BA 18 | Army Chem. Center | * · | | |
| Mass. | B 03 | Reading | NARP | | |
| | B 05 | Danvers | NARP | | |
| | B 15 | Beverly | NARP | | |
| | B 17 | Nahant | NARP | | |
| | B 36 | Weymouth | NARP | | |
| | B 37 | Quincy | NAR P | | |
| | B 38 | Hingham | NARP | | |
| | B 63 | Needham | NARP | | |
| | B 73 | Lincoln | NARP | | |
| | B 84 | Burlington | NARP | | |
| | B 85 | Bedford | NARP | | |
| | PR 19 | Rehoboth | NARP | | |
| | PR 29 | Swansea | NARP | | |
| | B 55 | Blue Hills | * | | |
| Michigan | D 15 | Selfridge AFB | NARP | | |
| | D 17 | St. Clair Co. | NARP | | |
| | D 06 | Utica | NARP | | |
| | D 14 | Macomb Co. | NARP | | |
| | D 16 | Selfridge AFB | ARP (leased) | | |

9

1

e ...

0

•** *

σ

| State | Designation | Location | Comments |
|------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Michigan | D 23-26 | Wayne Co. | NARP |
| (Cont) | D 51 | Wayne Co. | NARP |
| | D 54-55 | Riverview | NARP |
| | D 57 | Newport NAS | * |
| | D 69 | Detroit | * |
| | D 61 | Wayne Co. | NARP |
| | D 86 | Oakland Co. | NARP |
| | D 87 | Oakland Co. | NARP |
| | D 58 | Carleton | ARP (approx56 ha to be |
| | | | disposed of) |
| | D 97 | Auburn Hts. | * |
| Minnesota | MS 40 | Framington | NARP |
| | MS 90 | Bethel | NARP |
| | HS 70 | Minnespolis | NARP |
| | MS 20 | St. Paul | NARP |
| Missouri | KC 10 | Ray Co. | NARP 3/26/58-7/29/69 |
| | KC 30 . | Cass Co. | ARP 3/26/58-11/21/72 |
| | SL 60 | Pacífic | NAR P |
| Nebraska | LI 01 | Lincoln | NARP |
| | LI 50 | Crete | NARP |
| | OF 60 | Plattsmouth | NARP |
| | OF 10 | Plattsmouth | * |
| New Mexico | WA 10 | Walker AFB | NARP excessed 1961 |
| | WA 50 | Walker AFB | NARP excessed 1962 |
| New Jersey | NYD 80 | Morristown | Declared Excess |
| | PH 58 | Swedesboro | |
| | NYD 60 | S. Amboy | 2AC ARP |
| | NYD 65 | S. Plainfield | NARP |
| | NYD 54 | Holmdel | NARP |
| | PH 41/43 | Glassboro | Declared Excess |
| | NYD 93 | Mahwah | Declared Excess |
| | PH 32 | Marlton | NARP |
| | NYD 73 | Summit | NARP |
| | NYD 88 | Mt. View | NARP |
| | PH 23/25 | Mt. Holly | NARP |
| | PH 49 | Richwood | NARP |

> ି କ ଜ

~

| - | | APPEND | IX B- | 1 | | |
|------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-----|-----------|
| LOC | ATIONS | OF NIP | E MIS | SSILE | SIT | ES |
| IDENTIFIED | BY THE | U.S. | ARMY | CORPS | OF | ENGINEERS |

- - - -

•

| State | Designation | Location | Comments |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| New York | NYD 49 | Ft. Tilden | * |
| | NYD 04/05 | Orangetown | 20 AC ARP remains |
| | NYD 25 | Brookhaven | Some ARP remains |
| | NYD 99 | Ramapo | NARP |
| | NYD 20 | Huntington | NARP |
| • | NYD 24 | Babylon | NARP |
| | NYD 09 | White Plains | NARP |
| | NYD 23 | Oyster Bay | NARP |
| | NYD 30 | Lido Beach | NARP |
| | NF 03 | Lewiston | NARP |
| | BU 09 | Buffalo | NARP |
| | NF 16 | Cambria | NARP |
| | BU 18 | Lancaster | NARP |
| | BU 34/35 | Aurora | NARP |
| | NB, NF 41 | Grand Island | NARP |
| | BV 51/53 | Hamburg | NARP |
| | NY 56 | Ft. Hancock | * |
| | NY 15 | Ft. Slocum | * |
| | CD 46 | Clermont Co. | ARP (3.6 ha leased, |
| | 01 (7 | | remainder NARP) |
| | CL 67 | Cleveland | NARP |
| | CL 48 | Cuyahoga Co. | NARP |
| | CL 69 | Cuyahoga Co. | ARP (5.5 ha leased, remainder NARP) |
| | CL 13 | Lake Co. | NARP |
| | CD 27 | Wilmington | * |
| | CD 78 | Oxford | * |
| | CL 02 | Cleveland | * |
| | CL 11 | Painsville | * |
| | CL 34 | Cleveland | * |
| | CL 59 | Parma | * |
| Pennsylvania | | Rural Ridge | NARP, to State, DOI |
| | PI 03 | Indianda | Declared Excess |
| | PI 36 | Irwin | NARP to GSA |
| | PI 25 | Murrysville | * |
| | PI 37 | Hermine | NARP sold 1976 |
| | PI 43 | Elrama | ARP |
| | PI 52 | Hickman | * |
| | PI 62 PI 71 | Bryant Corapolis | * NAR P |
| | | | · · |
| | PI 92 | Allegheny Co | IISAPC |
| | PI 92 PI 93 | Allegheny Co. Allegheny Co. | USARC USARC |

Ċ: ` -**.**... (**.) * 6 6

ŝ

| State | Designation | Location | Comments |
|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Pennsylvania | PH 15 | Bristol | USARC |
| (Cont) | PH 67 | Upper Chichester | NARP |
| | PH 75/78 | Edgemont | USARC |
| | PH 82 | Chester Co. | NAR P |
| | PH 91 | Montgomery Co. | NARP |
| | рн 97/99 | Warrington | USARC |
| lhode | | | |
| Island | PR 99 | N. Smithfield | NAR P |
| | PR 38 | Bristol | NARP |
| | PR 58 | N. Kingston | NARP |
| | PR 69 | Coventry | NARP |
| | PR 79 | Foster | NARP |
| South | - | | |
| Dakota | EE 40 | Ellsworth AFB | NAR P |
| | E 20 | Ellsworth AFB | NARP |
| | E 40 | Ellsworth AFB | NARP |
| | D 70 | Ellsworth AFB | NARP |
| exas | BG 40 | Elroy | 1959-1981 |
| | BG 80 | Austin | 1959 |
| | DFWDA | Duncanville | |
| | DF 1 | Denton | |
| | DF 50 | Alvarado | 1958-1973 |
| | DF 20 | Terrell | 1958-1971 |
| | DY-10 | Abilene | 1960-1968 |
| | DY-50 | Abilene | 1960-1968 |
| | DF 70 | Ft. Walters | Mineral Wells |
| Virginia | W 83 | Herndon | * |
| | W 64 | Lorton | NARP |
| | W 74 | Fairfax | Declared Excess |
| | N 36 | Virginia Beach | NARP - GSA |
| | N 63 | Suffolk | NARP |
| | N 93 | Hampton | USARC |
| | N 02 | Hampton | |
| | N 58 | Chesapeake | |
| | W 75 | Isle of Wright Co. | |
| | N 85 | Newport News | |
| | N 52 | Norfolk | |
| | N 25 | Ft. Story | * |
| | N 75 | Smithfield | * |

| State | Designation | Location | Comments |
|-----------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ashington | F 07 | Spokane | ARP (12 ha leased, remainder NARP) |
| | F 37 | Spokane | ARP (leased) |
| | F 45 | Spokane | NARP |
| | F 87 | Spokane | NARP |
| | S 03 | Seattle | ARP (17 ha leased, remainder NARP) |
| | S 13-14 | Redmond | ARP (12 ha leased) remainder NARP) |
| | S 20 | Seattle | NARP |
| | s 32-33 | Seattle | NARP |
| | S 43 | Midway . | NARP (10 ha ARP) |
| | S 61 | Vashon | NARP |
| | S 62 | Bremerton | NARP |
| | S 81 | Poulsbo | NARP |
| | S 82 | Winslow | NARP (2 ha ARP) |
| | S 92 | Kingston | NARP |
| | H 06 | Saddle Mt. | * |
| | H 12 | Othello | * |
| | H 52 | Rattlesnake Mt. | * |
| | н 83 | Priest Rapids | * |
| Wisconsin | M 02 | River Hills | NARP |
| | M 20 | Milwaukee | NARP |
| | M 42 | Cudahy | NARP |
| | M 54 | Milwaukee | NARP |
| | M 64 | Muskego | NARP |
| | M 74 | Muskego | NARP |
| | м 86 · | Menomonee Falls | NARP |
| | M 96 | Milwaukee | ARP (leased) |

SOURCE: CE Real Property Records

* Site not included in CE records. Information from secondary sources. NARP = Not Army Real Property ARP = Army Real Property

÷

•

4

•

٠

.

and a second second second second

Appendix B-2. Nike Missile Sites

1

| <u>Unit</u> | <u>Battery</u> | A | jax | Her | cules | Location | Remarks |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|---------------------|---|
| Sth Arty/3rd Bti | n KQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Fort Banks, Mass. | Rehoboth (1964); Coventry, R.I. (197) |
| (24th Btn) | A Ì | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Nahaut, Mass. | Lincoln (1957); Windsor, Conn. (1966) |
| • • • • • • | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Reading, Mass. | Bedford (1957); Fort Duvall (1962) |
| | C | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Bluehill, Mass. | Burlington (1957); Bristol, R.I. (1963) |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Needham, Mass. | Danvers (1957) |
| 52nd Arty/3rd Bi | tn HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Quincy, Mass. | |
| (514th Btn) | Α. | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Lincoln, Mass. | Bingham (1957) |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Burlington, Mass. | Blue Hill (1956); Burlington (1958); Nahant (1960) |
| | С | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Quincy, Mass. | |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Fort Duvall, Mass. | |
| 57th Arty/lst B | tn HQ | 1956 | 1960 | | | Fort Devens, Mass. | · |
| (605th Btn) | A | 1957 | 1960 | | | Beverly, Mass. | |
| | B | 1956 | 1960 | | | Danvers, Mass. | |
| | С | 1956 | 1959 | | | Bingham, Mass. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1960 | ~* | | Bedford, Mass. | Reading (1957) |
| 56th Arty/4th Bi | tn HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Rehoboth, Mass. | |
| (739th Btn) | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Swansea, Mass. | |
| | С | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | N. Smithfield, R.I. | Bristol (1961) |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Foster, R.1. | N. Smithfield (1962) |
| 68th Arty/4th Bi | tn HQ | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1962 | Coventry, R.I. | |
| (751st Btn) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1962 | N. Kingston, R.I. | |
| | C | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1962 | N. Smithfield, R.I. | |
| • | D | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1962 | Foster, R.I. | |

9313975)342

,- . N

\$

| <u>Unit</u> | Battery | Ajax | He | <u>rcules</u> | <u>location</u> | <u>Remarks</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------|---------------------|---|
| 55th Arty/1st Bt | n HQ | 1955 19 | 960 | | Fort Totten, N.Y. | |
| (66th Btn) | Α. | 1955 19 | 960 | | Orangeburg, N.Y. | Long Island (1958) |
| | B | | 960 | | Kensico, N.Y. | |
| | C | 1955 19 | 960 | | Hicksville, N.Y. | |
| 65th Arty/2nd Bt | n KQ | 1955 19 | 958 1959 | AC | Camp Kilmer, N.Y. | Van Nuys, Calif. (1973) |
| (483rd Btn) | A` | 1955 19 | 958 1959 | AC | Darlington, N.J. | Summit Watching (1958); Pafmdale, Calif. (1973) |
| | B | 1955 19 | 958 1959 | AC | S. Plainfield, N.J. | Malibu, Calif. (1973) |
| | Ċ | 1955 19 | 958 1959 | AC | Livingston, N.J. | Chatsworth, Calif. (1973) |
| | D | 1955 19 | 958 1959 | 1960 | Lk. Pakanack, N.J. | ι. |
| 51st Arty/3rd Bti (505th Btn) | n HQ | 1955 19 | 957 1958 | 1972 | Fort Tilden, N.Y. | Fort Hancock, N.J. (1964); Highland, N.J. (1968) |
| | A | 1956 19 | 957 1958 | 1972 | New York, N.Y. | Long Island (1957); Farmingdale (1963); S. Amboy, N.J. (1964); Fort Tilden (1963) |
| | B | 1955 19 | 957 1958 | 1964 | New York, N.Y. | Fort Tilden (1963) |
| | С | | 957 1958 | 1972 | Lido Beach, N.Y. | Fort Totten (1961); Fort Hancock (1964 |
| | D | 1957 19 | 957 1958 | 1970 | Brookhaven, N.Y. | Holmdel, N.J. (1964) |
| 71st Arty/4th Btu | n HQ | 1955 19 | 959 1960 | 1964 | Fort Hancock, N.J. | |
| (526th Btn) | A A | | 959 1960 | 1964 | S. Amboy, N.J. | |
| | B | | 959 1960 | 1964 | Holmdel, N.J. | Leonard Chapel Hill (1957); S. Plainesville (1961) |
| | С | | - 1962 | 1964 | Fort Hancock, N.J. | |
| | D | 1955 19 | 959 1960 | 1964 | Holmdel, N.J. | |
| 7th Arty/5th Btn | HQ | 1956 19 | 958 1959 | 1969 | Fort Tilden, N.Y. | Tappan (1964) |
| (737 th Btn) | Å | | 58 1959 | 1969 | Orangeburg, N.Y. | Fort Tilden (1964, 1968); Orangeburg |
| | •• | .,,, 17 | | | | (1967) |
| • | B | 1957 19 | 958 1959 | 1969 | Darlington, N.J. | Franklin (1963, 1968); Darlington (1967) |
| | C | 1957 19 | 958 1959 | 1964 | Spring Valley, N.Y. | Livingston, N.J. (1960) |
| • | D | | 58 1959 | 1961 | Holmdel, N.J. | Fort Hancock (1959); Fort Totten, N.Y. (1960) |

•

.

.

N.Y. (1960)

.

•

9 1 1 9 4 5 1 8 4 3

.

.

.

· . .

| Unit | Battery | A j | <u>ex</u> | Here | ules | Location | <u>Remarks</u> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|------|------|-------------------|---|
| 43rd Arty/3rd Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | AC | Lumberton, N.J. | Pedricktown (1962, 1968); Edgemont (1964 |
| | A | | | 1962 | AC | Lumberton, N.J. | Clementon (1963, 1969); Pedricktown (1967) |
| | В | | | 1962 | AC | Swedesboro, N.J. | |
| | С | | | 1962 | 1967 | Lumberton, N.J. | Edgemont (1965) |
| 55th Arty/4th Btn | HQ | | | 1965 | 1967 | Fort Totten, N.Y. | L |
| 244th Arty/lst Bt (N.Y. ARNG) | n [.] | 1960 | 1963 | 1964 | AC | New York, N.Y. | Listed as Pa. ARNG in 1966 |
| 245th Arty/lat Bt (N.Y. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1964 | | | New York, N.Y. | |
| 212th Arty/let Bt (N.Y. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1964 | | | New York, N.Y. | |
| 254th Arty/lst Bti (N.Y. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1962 | 1963 | 1966 | New York, N.Y. | Listed as active under N.J. ARNG in 1972-1973 |
| 3rd Arty/5th Btn | ĦQ | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | West View, Ps. | |
| (74th Btn) | Ā | | | 1961 | 1963 | West View, Ps. | |
| | B | | | 1960 | 1963 | Caraopolis, Pa. | |
| | С | | | 1961 | 1963 | Dorseyville, Pa. | |
| | D | | | 1960 | 1963 | Finleyville, Ps. | |
| 59th Arty/2nd Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Maple, Pa. | Edgemont (1964) |
| (176th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Valley Forge, Pa. | Paoli (1967); Philadelphia (1961); Warrington (1963) |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Gradyville, Pa. | Edgemont (1957, 1964) |
| | C | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Buckman, Pa. | Chester (1957) |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Pennsgrove, N.J. | Swedesboro (1957) |

.

•

9 9 1 9 9 5 3 9 4 4

| <u>Unit</u> | Battery | A | jax | Hero | ules | Location | <u>Remarks</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------|------|------|------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 60th Arty/3rd Btr | n HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| (506th Btn) | | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Philadelphia, Pa. | · |
| | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Philadelphia, Pa. | • |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Philadelphia, Pa. | ٤ |
| 166th Arty/2nd Bt (Pa. ARNG) | :n | 1960 | 1963 | 1964 | 1969 | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| 166th Arty/3rd Bt (Pa. ARNG) | in | 1960 | 1963 | ~~ | | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| 254th Arty/2nd Bt (N.Y. ARNG) | in | 1960 | 1963 | | | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| 112th Arty/7th Bt (N.J. ARNG) | n | | | 1967 | • 1971 | Philadelphia, Pa. | |
| tab Antu/Jed Bto | HQ | 1955 | | 1960 | AC | Fort Irwin, Pa. | Pittsburgh (1959); Oakdale (1972) |
| lst Arty/3rd Btn | B | | | 1961 | AC | Sewickley, Pa. | Fort Irwin (1963); Hermine (1968) |
| (lst Btn) | C | | | 1961 | 1969 | N. Huntington, Pa. | Fort Irwin (1963) |
| | D | | | 1960 | AC | Elizabeth, Pa. | Fort Irwin (1963); El Rana (1968) |
| 6th Arty/6th Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Pitteburgh, Pa. | |
| (509th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Coraopolis, Pa. | |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Bridgeville, Pa. | |
| | c | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Finleyville, Pa. | |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Elizabeth, Pa. | |
| 176th Arty/2nd Bt (Pa. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1963 | 1964 | 1973 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | |
| 176th Arty/lst Bt (Pa. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1963 | | | Pittsburgh, Pa. | |

.

.

r

,

:

•

۰.

٠

| <u>Unit</u> B | <u>attery</u> | A | <u>jax</u> | Her | cules | Location | Remarka |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------|------------|------|-------|-------------------------------|--|
| (13th Btn) | HQ | 1956 | 1960 | | | Orland Park, 111. | |
| | A` | 1956 | 1960 | | | Naperville, Ill. | |
| | С | 1956 | 1960 | | | LaGrange, 111. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1960 | | | Palatine, Ill. | · |
| 52nd Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Chicago, 111. | £ |
| (49th Btn) | A | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Wheeler, Ind. | Hammond (1957); Homewood, Ill. (1959) |
| 4 | 8 | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Chicago, Ill. | |
| | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Libertyville, Ill. | Munster, Ind. (1957); Chicago (1959) |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | Wheeler, Ind. | Hedgewick, Ill. (1957); Chicago, Ill. (|
| 517th Arty/lat Btn | NQ | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | Fort Sheridan, 111. | |
| (78th Btn) | A` | 1956 | 1959 | | | Libertyville, Ill. | |
| | B | 1955 | 1959 | | | Libertyville, Ill. | |
| | Ċ | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | Fort Sheridan, Ill. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | Arlington Hts., 111. | Northfield (1959) |
| 60th Arty/lat Btn (79th Btn) | HQ | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Gary, Ind. | Munster (1969); Arlington Hts., 111. (1971) |
| | A | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1971 | Wheeler, Ind. | |
| | B | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Chesterton, Ind. | Porter (1959, 1973) |
| | С | | | 1960 | 1969 | Gary, Ind. | Hunster (1962) |
| | D | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1971 | Gary, Ind. | Glen Park (1960); Chicago, Ill. (1965, 1968) |
| 3rd Arty/6th Btn | HQ | 1955 | | | | Fort Sheridan, Ill. | |
| (86th Btn) | Å | 1955 | | 1962 | | Arlington Hts., Ill. | Homewood (1962); Chicago (1963) |
| | B | 1955 | | 1959 | 1965 | Skokie, Ill. | Addison (1959) |
| | C | 1955 | | 1959 | | Argonne Laboratories, 111. | Palatine (1959); Northfield (1961); Lemont (1962) |
| | D | 1955 | ~ - | 1963 | | Addison, Ill. | Northfield (1963) |

.

9 5 1 2 9 3 5 1 8 4 6

| Unit | Battery | A | jax | <u>He</u> : | rcules_ | Location | Remarks |
|-------------------|------------|------|------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|---|
| 57th Arty/2nd Bti | n HQ | 1955 | | | | Fort Sheridan, 111. | |
| (485th Btn) | A . | 1955 | | | | Chicago, 111. | Chicago (1962) |
| | B | 1955 | | | | Chicago, Ill. | Northfield (1962) |
| | С | 1955 | | | | Chicago, Ill. | · |
| | D | 1955 | | | | Hedgewick, Ill. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 59th Arty/3rd Bt | n HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Prospect, Wis. | Milwaukee (1964) |
| (852nd Btn) | A | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Prospect, Wis. | Hilwaukee (1957) |
| | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Waukesha, Wis. | Milwaukee (1957) |
| | . C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Lannon, Wis. | Waukesha (1961); Chicago, 111. (1965) |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1 96 9 - | Milwaukee, Wis. | Van Nuys, Calif. (1968) |
| 60th Arty/2nd Bt | n HQ | 1959 | 1962 | | | Orland Park, Ill. | |
| | A` | 1960 | 1962 | ~~ | | Homewood, 111. | |
| | С | 1960 | 1962 | | | Munster, Ind. | |
| | D | 1959 | 1962 | | | Lemont, Ill. | |
| 562nd Arty/lst Bi | tn HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Fort George Meade, Kd. | |
| (36th Btn) | A | | | 1962 | 1963 | Fort George Meade, Hd. | |
| | B | | | 1962 | 1963 | Fort George Meade, Md. | |
| | D | | | 1962 | 1963 | Fort George Meade, Md. | • |
| let Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | AC | Edgewood Arsenal, Md. | |
| (54th Btn) | A` | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | AC | Granite, Md. | Sweet Air (1960); Gaithersburg (1968) |
| | B | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | AC | Cromhardt, Md. | Granite (1960); }]]idsonville (1968); Fort Story, Va. (1971) |
| | C | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | AC | Edgewood Arsenal, Md. | Edgewood Arsenal (1973) |
| | D | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | AC | Kingsville (Fork), Hd. | Irwin, Pa. (1963); Edgewood Arsenal (1964); Chestertown (1968) |
| 51st Arty/4th Bto | -{{HO | 1955 | 1960 | | | Fort Monroe, Va. | |
| (56th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1960 | *- | | Fort Hill, Va. | |
| (Fight Many | B | 1955 | 1960 | | * - | Spegalville, Va. | |
| | c | 1955 | 1960 | | | Camp Patrick, Va. | |
| | D | 1955 | 1960 | | | Carrollton, Va. | |
| • | 2 | | | | | | |

•

.

•

•

.

٠

..

931 953 847

.

ı.

| <u>Unit</u> B | attery | A | jax | <u>He</u> | rcules | Location | Remarks |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|--|
| 71st Arty/1st Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Fort Belvoir, Va. | Rockville, Md. (1964) |
| (71st Btn) | A I | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Rockville, Md. | Pomonkey (1959); Rockville (1960) |
| | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Dranesville, Va. | S. Plainfield (1960); Dranesville (1961); Waldorf (1962); Davidsonville Md. (1963) |
| | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Lorton, Va. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Fairfax, Va. | Laytonville, Md. (1960) |
| 562nd Arty/3rd Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1958 | 1962 | Andrews AFB, Md. | |
| (75th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1958 | 1958 | 1962 | Washington, DC | Waldorf, Md. (1957); Mattawoman (1959); Pomankey (1960) |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1958 | 1962 | Silver Springs, Md. | Marlboro (1957) |
| · | С | 1955 | 1958 | 1958 | 1962 | Landover Hills, Md. | Waldorf (1957) |
| | D | 1957 | 1958 | 1958 | 1962 | LaPlata, Md. | Brandywine (1959) |
| 5th Arty/4th Btn (602nd Btn) | HQ | 1955 | | 1959 | 1960 | Army Chemical Center, Md. | |
| | A | 1955 | 1959 | | . | · Baltimore, Md. | |
| | B | 1955 | 1959 | · | | Baltimore, Md. | |
| | С | 1955 | | 1959 | 1960 | Baltimore, Md. | Gaithersburg (1956) |
| | D | 1955 | *- | 1959 | 1960 | Baltimore, Md. | Naylor (1956); Rockville (1959) |
| 70th Arty/2nd Btn (Md. ARNG) | | 1960 | 1963 | | | Washington/Baltimore | |
| 170th Arty/2nd Btn (Va. ARNG) | | | 1960 | 1962 | | | Washington/Baltimore |
| 70th Arty/1st Btn (Md. ARNG) | | 1962 | 1963 | 1963 | 1973 | Washington/Baltimore | |
| 280th Arty/lst Btn (Vs. ARNG) | | 1962 | 1963 | 1963 | 1964 | Washington/Baltimore | |

•

٠

•

| <u>Unit</u> | <u>Battery</u> | | jax | <u>He</u> | rcules | Location | Remarks |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------|------|-----------|--------|----------------------|---|
| llith Arty/4th Bt (Va. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1963 | 1964 | 1973 | Hampton Roads, Va. | A battery relocated to Washington/ Baltimore in 1964 |
| 111th Arty/5th Bt | n | 1960 | 1962 | | | | • |
| 43rd Arty/lst Btn | HQ | 1957 | | 1959 | 1966 | Fairchild AFB, Wash. | |
| (10th Btn) | Ċ | | | 1962 | 1966 | Fairchild AFB, Wash. | 4 |
| | D | | | 1962 | 1966 | Fairchild AFB, Wash. | |
| 43rd Arty/2nd Btn | HQ | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1966 | Redmond, Wash. | Turner AFB, Ga. (1960) |
| (28th Btn) | A | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1966 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | Turner AFB, Ga. (1960) |
| | B | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1966 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | Turner AFB, Ga. (1960) |
| | С | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | D | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | |
| 52nd Arty/lst Btn (83rd Btn) | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Camp Hanford, Wash. | |
| 60th Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | |
| (433rd Btn) | A Î | 1956 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | Vashon Isl., Wash. | Seattle (1960) |
| | В | 1957 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | Lake Youngs, Wash. | Vashon Isl. (1960) |
| | С | 1957 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | Seattle, Wash. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | Paulsboro, Wash. | Seattle (1957); Fort Lawton (1960) |
| 4th Arty/4th Btn | НQ | 1958 | 1958 | 1959 | 1972 | Paulsboro, Wash. | Fort Lawton (1964) |
| (513th Btn) | A İ | 1958 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Seattle, Wash. | Kent (1962); Redmond (1963) |
| | B | 1958 | 1958 | 1959 | 1972 | Seattle, Wash. | Vashon Isl. (1960); Kingston (1969) |
| | С | 1958 | 1958 | 1959 | 1972 | Seattle, Wash. | Kingston (1963) |
| | D | 1958 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Fort Lawton, Wash. | |
| 205th Arty/2nd Bt (Wash. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1964 | 1964 | 1973AC | Seattle, Wash. | |
| 205th Arty/3rd Bt (Wash. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1964 | , | | Seattle, Wash. | |

.

.

0 1 0 0 1 7

.

-

| Unit Ba | <u>attery</u> | A | jax | He | rcules | Location | <u>Remarks</u> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|------|------|----------|------------------------|---|
| 51st Arty/2nd Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1971 | Fort Baker, Calif. | |
| (9th Btn) | A | | | 1962 | 1971 | Fort Baker, Calif. | Fort Winfield Scott (1963); Sausalito (1969) |
| | B | | | 1962 | 1971 | Fort Baker, Calif. | Fort Cronkhite (1968) |
| | C | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1971 | San Francisco, Calif. | San Rafase (1957); Fort Winfield Scott (1960); Berkeley (1963); San Rafase (1968) |
| | D | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1964 | Angel Island, Calif. | San Francisco (1957) |
| 67th Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963. | Fort Cronkhite, Calif. | |
| (441st Btn) | A | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963. | San Bruno, Calif. | San Francisco (1960) |
| | B | 1955 | 1956 | | | Parks AFB, Calif. | San Francisco (1956) |
| | C | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Benicia, Calif. | San Francisco (1960) |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1963 | Fort Cronkhite, Calif. | San Rafase (1960) |
| | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | Fort Mott, N.J. |
| (738th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | Fort Mott, N.J. |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | Fort Dix, N.J. |
| | C | 1955 | 1958 | | | Fort Mott, N.J. | |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | Fort Dix, N.J. |
| 61st Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1955 | | 1962 | 1966 | San Francisco, Calif. | Robins AFB, Ga. (1962) |
| (739th Btn) | A | 1957 | | 1962 | 1966 | San Bruno, Calif. | Robins AFB, Gs. (1962) |
| | B | 1955 | | 1962 | 1966 | San Francisco, Calif. | Robins AFB, Ga. (1962) |
| | C | 1957 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | , |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | |
| 250th Arty/lst Btn (Calif. ARNG) | | 1960 | 1962 | 1963 | 1 97 3AC | San Francisco, Calif. | |
| 250th Arty/2nd Btn (Calif. ARNG) | | 1960 | 1962 | | | San Francisco, Calif. | |
| 65th Arty/4th Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1972 | Van Nuys, Calif. | |
| (551st Btn) | A` | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1972 | San Fernando, Calif. | Palmdale (1968) |
| · | В | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1972 | San Fernando, Calif. | Fort MacArthur (1964); Malibu (1968) |
| | C | 1957 | 1957 | 1958 | 1972 | San Fernando, Calif. | Fort MacArthur (1964); Van Nuys (1965); Chatsworth (1969) |
| | D | | | 1962 | 1969 | Van Nuys, Calif. | (1)0)/) VUGEDWOLEN (1)0// |
| | | | | | | • | |

9 3 1 2 9 A 5 5 5 5 0

.

• .

.

| <u>Unit</u> | Battery | A | jax | <u> </u> | rcules | Location | <u>Remarks</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------|------|------|----------|---------|------------------------|--|
| 57th Arty/3rd Btm | ı HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Fort MacArthur, Calif. | |
| (554th Btn) | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Long Beach, Calif. | Fort MacArthur (1963) |
| | С | | | 1962 | 1964 | Fort MacArthur, Calif. | |
| | D | | | 1962 | 1964 | Fort MacArthur, Calif. | |
| 56th Arty/1st Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1969 | Fort MacArthur, Calif. | Lang (1966) |
| (933rd Btn) | A | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1969 | El Monte, Calif. | Puente Hills (1957); Pasadeha (1963); Van Nuys (1964); Newhall (1968) |
| | В | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1964 . | Santa Ana AFB, Calif. | <pre>Ht. Disappointment (1957); Pasadena (1958); Fort MacArthur (1962)</pre> |
| | С | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1964 | Santa Ana AFB, Calif. | Mt. Gleason (1957); Van Nuys (1958); Pasadena (1963) |
| | D | 1956 | 1959 | 1960 | 1969 | Santa Ana AFB, Calif. | Van Nuys (1957, 1960); Pasadena (1958, 1961); Palmdale (1968) |
| 251st Arty/4th Bt (Calif. ARNG) | n | 1960 | 1963 | 1963 | 197 3AC | Los Angeles, Calif. | |
| 3rd Arty/4th Btn | НQ | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Detroit, Mich. | |
| (18th Btn) | Å | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Detroit, Mich. | |
| | C | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Commerce, Mich. | Milford (1959) |
| | D | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Algonac, Mich. | Auburn Hts. (1958) |
| 55th Arty/3rd Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1961 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | |
| (85th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1961 | Detroit, Mich. | |
| | B | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1961 | Detroit, Mich. | |
| | C | 1955 | 1957 | 1958 | 1961 | Detroit, Mich. | Riverview (1958); Wyando Hts. (1959) |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | | | Detroit, Hich. | |
| 517th Arty/2nd Bt | n HQ | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | Detroit, Mich. | • |
| (504th Btn) | A | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | Detroit, Mich. | |
| | В | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | Riverview, Mich. | Newport (1957, 1961) |
| , | C | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | Newport, Mich. | Detroit (1956); Dearborn (1957); Romulus (1959) |
| | D | 1955 | 1959 | 1960 | 1963 | Newport, Mich. | Commerce (1956); Carleton (1960); Detroit (1961) |

ŧ.

9-3-1-2-9-3-5-7-3-5-1

| <u>Unit</u> | Ba | <u>ttery</u> | A | <u>jax</u> | <u> </u> | rcules | Location | Remarks |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|------|------------|----------|---------|----------------------|--|
| 517th Arty/3rd | Rtn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1972 | Selfridge AFB, Mich. | |
| (516th Btn) | ar 4- 11 | A | | | 1962 | 1972 | Selfridge AFB, Mich. | Union Lake (1971) |
| (JICEN DEN) | | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Unica, Mich. | Detroit (1963) |
| | | C | | | 1962 | 1970 | Hilford, Mich. | Union Lake (1963); Selfridge AFB (1969) |
| | | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 · | Auburn Hts., Mich. | Algonac (1958); Milford (1961) |
| 177th Arty/lst (Mich. ARNG) | Btn | | 1960 | 1962 | 1963 | 1973AC | Detroit, Mich. | · |
| 177th Arty/2nd (Mich. ARNG) | Btn | | 1960 | 1962 | | · | Detroit, Mich. | |
| 55th Arty/2nd 1 | Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1966 | Manchester, Conn. | New Britain (1964) |
| (11th Btn) | | Α` | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1966 | Windsor, Conn. | |
| | | B | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Portland, Conn. | Ansonis (1961) |
| | | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Manchester, Conn. | |
| | | D | | | 1961 | 1964 | Cromwell, Conn. | |
| 51st Arty/1st 1 | Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1961 | ÷ | | Plaineville, Conn. | |
| (34th Btn) | | A | 1956 | 1961 | | | Simsbury, Conn. | |
| | | C | 1956 | 1961 | | | Cromwell, Conn. | |
| 44th Arty/3rd I | Btn | НQ | 1957 | 1961 | | | Fairfield, Conn. | |
| (741st Btn) | | A | 1957 | 1961 | | | Shelton, Conn. | |
| | | C | 1957 | 1961 | | | Westport, Conn. | |
| | | D | 1957 | 1961 | | | Plainville, Conn. | |
| 56th Arty/3rd 1 | Btn | HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | West Haven, Conn. | |
| (967th Btn) | | A | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Milford, Conn. | · |
| | | В | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Fairfield, Conn. | Ansonia (1958) |
| | | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Westport, Conn. | Shelton (1958) |
| 59th Arty/4th I | Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1971 | Norfolk, Va. | Fort Story |
| (38th Btn) | ١ | A | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Norfolk, Va. | Carrollton (1960) |
| | | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Norfolk, Va. | Deep Fork (1963) |
| | | C | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1965 | Norfolk, Va. | Camp Patrick (1960) |
| | | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1971 | Norfolk, Va. | Fort Story (1970) |
| | | | | | | | | |

9 1 2 9 1 5 7 3 5 2

| <u>Unit</u> | Battery | A | jax | <u>He</u> | rcules_ | Location | Remarks |
|---------------------------------|---------|------|------------|-----------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 4th Arty/1st Btn | HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1970 | Fort Niagara, N.Y. | Lockport AFB (1964); Sanborn (1967) |
| (44th Btn) | A Ì | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Model City, N.Y. | Lancaster (1962) |
| | B | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1969 | Cambria, N.Y. | |
| | C | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Grand Island, N.Y. | |
| | D | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | 1964 | Grand Island, N.Y. | |
| 44th Arty/4th Bt (168th Btn) | n HQ | 1958 | AC | | | Fort Bliss, Tex. | k |
| 68th Arty/lst Bt | n ĤQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Warren, Ohio | |
| (351st Btn) | A` | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Cleveland, Ohio | |
| | В | 1956 | 1959 | | | Cleveland, Ohio | |
| | С | | | 1959 | 1961 | Cleveland, Ohio | Warren (1960) |
| | D | | | 1959 | 1961 | Cleveland, Ohio | Warren (1960) |
| 67th Arty/3rd Bt | n HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Paynesville, Wis. | |
| (401st Btn) | A | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | River Hills, Wis. | Prospect (1958); Huskeegon (1960) |
| | В | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Hilwaukee, Wis. | |
| | . D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1961 | Hilwaukee, Wis. | Waukesha (1958) |
| 62nd Arty/2nd Bt | n HQ | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Fort Niagara, N.Y. | |
| (465th Btn) | A | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Millersport, N.Y. | |
| | C | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Orchard Park, N.Y. | |
| | D | 1956 | 1958 | 1959 | 1962 | Hamburg, N.Y. | |
| 52nd Arty/2nd Bt | n HQ | 1955 | 1958 | 1959 | AC | Fort Bliss, Tex. | Homestead AFB, Fls. (1972) |
| (495th Btn) | A | | ~ → | 1964 | 1972 | Homestead AFB, Fla. | |
| | В | | | 1962 | 1972 | Homestead AFB, Fla. | |
| | C | | | 1964 | 1972 | Homestead AFB, Fla. | |
| | D | | | 1964 | 1969 | Homestead AFB, Fla. | |
| 65th Arty/3rd Bt | n HQ | 1957 | 1959 | 1960 | 1971 | Cleveland, Ohio | |
| (508th Btn) | A Ì | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1971 | Cleveland, Ohio | Painesville (1959) |
| | C | 1957 | 1959 | 1960 | 1964 | Cleveland, Ohio | Warren (1961) |
| ı | D | 1957 | 1959 | 1960 | 1971 | Cleveland, Ohio | Lordstown (1957) |

٠

۸

•

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7

ł

.

| C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| (531st Bin) 61st Arty/3rd Btn (548th Btn) HQ 1957 1958 1959 1966 Loring AFB, Maine (548th Btn) A 1957 1958 1959 1966 Coswell, Maine B 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine C 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine D 1957 1958 1959 1964 Connor, Maine 61st Arty/1st Btn HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) HQ 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 8 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963 | <u>Unit</u> | <u>Battery</u> | <u> </u> | <u>Hercules</u> | Location | Remarks |
| (548th Btn) A 1957 1958 1959 1966 Coswell, Maine B 1957 1958 1959 1965 Limestone, Maine C 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine D 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine 6lst Arty/lst Btn HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1962 1966 Omsha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) | | Btn HQ | 1957 19 | 58 1959 1961 | Ellsworth AFB, Calif. | |
| (548th Btn) A 1957 1958 1959 1966 Coswell, Maine B 1957 1958 1959 1965 Limestone, Maine C 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine D 1957 1958 1959 1966 Connor, Maine 6lst Arty/lst Btn HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) | 61st Arty/3rd B | Btn HQ | 1957 195 | 58 1959 1966 | Loring AFB, Maine | |
| B 1957 1958 1959 1965 Limestone, Maine C 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine D 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine 61st Arty/1st Btn HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) | (548th Btn) | A . | 1957 19 | 58 1959 1966 | | • |
| C 1957 1958 1959 1966 Caribou, Maine 61st Arty/1st Btn HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 (436th Btn) A 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 8 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Travior (1963) 8 1962 <t< td=""><td></td><td>В</td><td>1957 195</td><td>58 1959 1965</td><td></td><td>•</td></t<> | | В | 1957 195 | 58 1959 1965 | | • |
| D 1957 1958 1959 1964 Connor, Maine 61st Arty/1st Btn (436th Btn) HQ 1958 1959 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Presidio (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971) (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 8 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 1962 Action AFB, Nebr. 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) 8 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) 6 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. <td></td> <td>С</td> <td>1957 195</td> <td>58 1959 1966</td> <td></td> <td></td> | | С | 1957 195 | 58 1959 1966 | | |
| (436th Btn) A 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 B 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) D | | D | 1957 19 | 58 1959 1964 | | |
| B 1963 AC Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. Fort Barry (1971, 1 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1962 1966 B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | 6lst Arty/1st B | Btn HQ | 1958 | 1959 AC | Travis AFB, Calif. | Presidio (1971) |
| C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | (436th Btn) | A | | 1963 AC | Travis AFB, Calif. | |
| C 1971 1971 Travis AFB, Calif. 7th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1960 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | В | | 1963 AC | Travis AFB, Calif. | Fort Barry (1971, 1973) |
| A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | C | | 1971 1971 | Travis AFB, Calif. | |
| A 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Elroy (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | 7th Arty/4th Bt | tn HQ | | 1960 | Bergstrom AFB, Tex. | |
| B 1962 Bergstrom AFB, Tex. Austin (1963) 43rd Arty/4th Btn HQ 1959 1974 Fort Richardson, Alaska 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | | | 1962 | | Elroy (1963) |
| 43rd Arty/6th Btn HQ 1960 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | B | | 1962 | ÷ . | |
| A 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Treynor (1963) B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | 43rd Arty/4th B | Btn HQ | | 1959 1974 | Fort Richardson, Alask | a |
| B 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Louisville (1963) C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | 43rd Arty/6th B | Stn HQ | ÷ | 1960 1966 | Omaha AFB, Nebr. | |
| C 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Crete (1963) D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | A | | 1962 1966 | Omaha AFB, Nebr. | Treynor (1963) |
| D 1962 1966 Omaha AFB, Nebr. Davey (1963) | | | | 1962 1966 | Omaha AFB, Nebr. | Louisville (1963) |
| | | C | | 1962 1966 | Omaha AFB, Nebr. | Crete (1963) |
| Sist Arty/6th Btn HO 1960 AC Mt. Home AFB Idebo Fort Blies Tey. (1 | | D | | 1962 1966 | Omaha AFB, Nebr. | Davey (1963) |
| side integration bear into an internation of the price, rest (1 | 51st Arty/6th B | Stn HQ | | 1960 AC | Ht. Home AFB, Idaho | Fort Bliss, Tex. (1967) |
| 55th Arty/4th Btn HQ 1965 1967 Fort Totten, N.Y. | 55th Arty/4th B | ltn HQ | | 1965 1967 | Fort Totten, N.Y. | |
| 55th Arty/5th Btn HQ 1959 1969 Olathe NAS, Kans. | 55th Arty/5th B | ltn HQ | | 1959 1969 | Olathe NAS, Kans. | |
| A 1962 1965 Olathe NAS, Kans. Lawson, Mo. (1963) | - | A | | 1962 1965 | = | Lawson, Mo. (1963) |
| | | В | | • | ÷ | Pleasent, Mo. (1963) |
| C 1962 1969 Olathe NAS, Kans. Gardner (1963) | | C | | | | |
| • | • | | | | ÷ | Fort Leavenworth (1963) |

.

9 1 9 9 5 7 8 5 4

| Unit | <u>Battery</u> | | <u>Ajax</u> | Her | cules | Location | Remarks |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|------|-------|-------------------------|---|
| 56th Arty/5th Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | 1970 | Wilmington, Ohio | Cincinnati (1961); Wilmington (1963) |
| | A Ì | | | 1962 | 1969 | Wilmington, Ohio | Cincinnati (1967) |
| | B | | | 1962 | 1965 | Wilmington, Ohio | Felicity (1963) |
| | С | | | 1962 | 1970 | Wilmington, Ohio | Dillsboro (1963, 1968); Cincinnati (1967) |
| | D | *= | | 1962 | 1965 | Wilmington, Ohio | Oxford (1963) |
| 62nd Arty/1st Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | 1969 | Scott AFB, Ill. | |
| | A | | | 1962 | 1969 | Scott AFB, 111. | Marine (1963) |
| | В | | | 1962 | 1969 | Scott AFB, Ill. | Hecker (1963) |
| | C | | | 1962 | 1969 | Scott AFB, 111. | Pacific, Ho. (1963) |
| , | D | | | 1962 | 1969 | Scott AFB, 111. | Pere Marquette (1964); Grafton (1968) |
| 62nd Arty/4th Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | AC | Fort Bliss, Tex. | |
| 68th Arty/3rd Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | AC | Minneapolis, Minn. | Snelling AFB (1964); Homestead AFB, Fla (1972) |
| , | A | | | 1960 | 1971 | Roberts, Wis. | |
| | В | | | 1960 | 1971 | Farmington, Minn. | |
| | С | | | 1960 | 1971 | St. Bonifacius, Minn. | |
| | D | | | 1960 | 1971 | Bethel, Minn. | |
| 333rd Arty/lst Bt | n HQ | | | 1964 | 1970 | Fort Bliss, Tex. | |
| 517th Arty/5th Bt | n HQ | | | 1960 | 1966 | Dyess AFB, Tex. | |
| - | A | | | 1962 | 1966 | Dyess AFB, Tex. | Abilene (1963) |
| | B | | | 1962 | 1966 | Dyess AFB, Tex. | Abilene (1963) |
| 562nd Arty/2nd Btr | n HQ | | | 1959 | AC | Eielson AFB, Alaska | Fort Wainwright (1964) |
| | A | ~ ~ | | 1961 | AC | | Eielson AFB (1964, 1972) |
| , | В | | | 1961 | AC | | Eielson AFB (1964, 1972) |
| | С | | | 1961 | 1970 | Fort Wainwright, Alaska | |
| • | D | | | 1961 | 1970 | Fort Wainwright, Alaska | Eielson AFB (1964) |

• •

,

| | <u>Unit</u> | Bat | <u>ttery</u> | | jax | <u>He</u> ı | cules_ | Location | <u>Remarks</u> |
|--------|-------------|-----|--------------|----|-----|-------------|--------|---|--|
| 56 2nd | Arty/4th | Btn | HQ | | | 1959 | 1969 | Duncanville AFB, Tex. | |
| | - | | A | | | 1962 | 1965 | Duncanville AFB, Tex. | Denton (1963) |
| | | | B | | | 1962 | 1965 | Duncanville AFB, Tex. | Terrell (1963) |
| | | | С | | | 1962 | 1969 | Duncanville AFB, Tex. | Alvarado (1963, 1968); Dallas (1967) |
| | | | D | | | 1962 | 1969 | Duncanville AFB, Tex. | Fort Wolters (1963, 1968); Dallas (1967. |
| 56 2nd | Arty/5th | Btn | HQ | ~~ | | 1960 | 1966 | Louisiana Ord. Plant (Shreveport), La. | ٢ |
| | | • | A | | | 1962 | 1966 | Louisiana Ord. Plant (Shreveport), La. | Bellevue (1963) |
| | | | B | | | 1962 | 1966 | Louisiana Ord. Plant (Shreveport), La. | Stonewall (1963) |
| | | | | | | | | | · |

.

,

•

.

. .

.

APPENDIX B-3

9

L)

1

5.8.

5

0

ç

 σ

METROPOLITAN DEFENSE AREA MAPS

"NOTICE"

The documents contained in this Appendix have been reproduced from the best copies available. Although certain portions are illegible, this material is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.

APPENDIX B-3

METROPOLITAN DEFENSE AREA MAPS

The maps presented in this section show the location of ARADCOM sites in CONUS as of 1968 and give certain information about the unit and equipment at each site. The top line in each box gives the COE designation for the property, such as LA-04. The second line is a military unit designation (for example, A/4/65 to indicate A battery, 4th Battalion, 65th Artillery). Other items in the boxes describe certain equipment at the site, such as HIPAR for high-power acquisition radar, and HAWK for a Hawk missile battery. The other codes have not been identified.

Personnel at the NORAD History Office in Colorado Springs, Colo., provided the maps presented, which were included in an annual historical summary report produced in 1968. Similar maps could not be located for other years.


1


<u>.</u>

10.00

 \mathcal{O}^{r}

÷.






B-29

 \sim ÷


.




-
- -....

1


1






.

- AAIS







t

-

4





1)


Ł


• Q,


. .

B-36


- .













.

1



.

9 3 + 2 9 * 5 T F 7 7 2




KEY WEST DEFENSE

- O RESULARAMY
- ----
- + TOIWAY {WANUAL OPNE TO FOCT}
-

9 5 1-0-9 5 3 -0 2 7 3

.




.

...............


•

5 . . .

•




:




•

1

.



λ.




. RECVLAR ARM

- O NATIONAL CHARD

- DCL DUAL CONTROL & LAUNCHER
- 🖾 1813
- # DOUBLE BATTERY (2 MIRE-H FU)

9 5 1 3 9 5 6 3 3 7 8



the third dec

1

٠

APPENDIX C

O

117

¥.,*

-7

GEOGRAPHIC AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR ARAACOM, ARADCOM, AND NORAD FOR THE PERIOD 1950 TO 1973

"NOTICE:

The documents contained in this Appendix have been reproduced from the best copies available. Although certain portions are illegible, this material is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.

APPENDIX C

GEOGRAPHIC AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR ARAACOM, ARADCOM, AND NORAD FOR THE PERIOD 1950 TO 1973

The maps presented in this section show the different administrative regions which existed within ARAACOM during the Nike program. The variation illustrated may be one of the main reasons why no significant regional differences in battery operations were found. Regional boundaries changed so frequently that administrative control of many individual batteries shifted annually. This would necessarily inhibit evolution of "regional" procedures, since no administrative framework remained in place for an extended period.

 \mathbf{C}

C

111


**** A

0


9 3 1 9 3 5 8 3 3 1

<u>C</u>L

4.1




t



REGIONAL ARAACOM & AD FORCE

AIR DIVISION HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

9 5 1 7 9 3 5 1 3 8 3




HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

0-4

.

1 .




HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)


C-2

9 3 1 2 9 3 5 7 8 8 5

I JULY 1955









AA REGION AND JAD


C-7

3 9 7 2935 9 3 ł






1 JULY 1959



----- NORAD RGNS






SAGE COMBAT CENTER

MISSILE MASTER

÷ .


9 1 1 2 9 1 5 1 8 9 1



SAGE COMBAT CENTER


MISSILE MASTER . HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

9 4 1 2 9 3 3 1 3 9 2




1-12

9 3 1 3 9 3 3 1 3 9 3




9 1 1 2 9 3 5 7 3 9 4




HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

.


9 0 1 2 940 3 1 8 9 5




9 1 1 1 9 3 5 3 8 9 6



12




9 3 1 3 9 7 5 1 3 9 8



HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)


C-19



HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

4


1-.




<u>-1</u>

9 5 1 2 9 3 3 7 9 1 1

I JULY 1971








0-23





[△] BIRDIE (AN/6SG-5)

HEADQUARTERS AND AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY (CONUS)

0-24

APPENDIX D EXAMPLE NIKE SITE MAPS

-

.

ъ С

> e C

រូព


7

0

----4


1

6



ŝ


.




D-2

C 9 177 8. j 5 ***** يندر ال

9





. .